IJVST

The epidural analgesic effects of Meloxicam in dogs

Azin Tavakoli¹

Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Garmsar branch, Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran

Received: January 23, 2013

Accepted: February 23, 2014

Abstract

Epidural analgesia is an effective and frequent method used to induce analgesia in perineal region during orthopedic or obstetric procedures of pain management. 18 healthy mixedbreed dogs, were randomly divided into three groups. Lumbosacral epidural analgesia was performed in all dogs in the following order: lidocaine (0.2 mg/kg) in group A, meloxicam (0.1 mg/kg) in group B and the combination of both drugs in group C. Heart rate, respiratory rate and body temperatures were recorded for every 5 minutes, while analgesia onset time, duration of analgesia and paralysis were also recorded in all dogs. Without any systemic complications, no significant difference was observed in mean heart rate, respiratory rate and body temperature in all groups (p>0.05). Duration of analgesia was significantly lower in group B (59 ± 15) compared to group A (109±10) and C (127± 24) (p<0.05). Moreover, paralysis did not occur in group B. It is concluded that meloxicam is effective and safe in inducing epidural analgesia in dogs. Although sensory block occurred during epidural analgesia, motor block and paralysis of the legs did not occur. Therefore further studies using the higher concentration of the drug are recommended. Also the drug does not enhance the duration of analgesia induced by lidocaine.

Keywords: Epidural analgesia, meloxicam, lidocaine, dog

^{*}Corresponding author: Azin Tavakoli Email: azin.tavakoli@gmail.com

Introduction

Many efforts have been made to realize and prevent pain in animals using different drugs. Epidural is an effective route to lower the pain and sensation by injecting drugs into the epidural space. Many analgesics and their combinations have been suggested to inject into the epidural space in order to control either acute or chronic pain.^{1, 2}). Preoperative epidural administration of analgesics not only provides preemptive and intraoperative analgesia, but also creates excellent postoperative analgesia with prolonged duration of effect.^{3, 4}

Meloxicam is a nonsteroid antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) with analgesic properties that exerts its analgesic effect by inhibiting cyclooxygenase enzyme (COX). This enzyme is an important component of arachidonic acid pathway, which leads to the synthesis of prostaglandins and the induction of pain. Because meloxicam is a COX-2 preferential NSAID, it is less harmful to renal gastrointestinal and system, SO it is advantageous to be administered epidurally.⁵, ⁶. Evidence suggests that COX- 2 is the dominant COX isoform in the spinal cord and is associated the recognition of pain by central nervous system during inflammation.⁷Therefore the use of the drugs that have more affinity to COX-2 is preferred compared to the drugs without any selective activity to COX due to their less side effects.⁸

Many reports are existed in literature regarding analgesic properties of Meloxicam in dogs.^{9, 10, 11, 12} In spite of the fact that the drug is analgesic and has been proved to function more selective to COX-2, very limited reports are available regarding its epidural administration. Gangwar *et al.* in 2008 performed successful epidural by meloixam and concluded that it can be used safe into the epidural space for the treatment of hock joint lameness in bovine without any toxicity or side effects.¹³

The objective of this study was to investigate the analgesic efficacy of meloxicam used into the epidural space. Also to evaluate whether the combination of the drug with Lidocaine could potentially improve its analgesic effects.

Materials and methods

Animals:

18 healthy adult mixed breed dogs weighting 14±2 kg were included in the study. The dogs were randomly divided into three groups. All experimental procedures were approved by the University Research Committee in accordance with the guidelines of its Institutional Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee.

Procedure:

The dogs were physically restrained by a technician and ventrally positioned. After aseptic preparation of the area, the skin and muscles of the injecting area was desensitized using 2 ml of Lidocaine (2%, Pasture Institute, Iran). Epidural injections were performed thorough the deepest area in lumbosacral junction via a 50 mm, 20 gauge epidural needle. Legs were flexed so that maximum exposure of the lumbosacral space was achieved. Hanging drop technique was used to confirm that the needle is located into the epidural space. The epidural injection was performed in all dogs in the following order: Lidocaine (2%, Pasture Institute, Iran 0.2 mg/kg) in group A, Meloxicam (7.5 mg/ml, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Germany, 0.1 mg/kg) in group B and the combination of both drugs in group C. Heart rate/min, respiratory rate/min and body temperature were recorded for every 5 minutes. Moreover, analgesia onset time and duration of analgesia including sensory loss, by inserting the painful stimulation (pinprick) for every 3 minutes, as well as motor loss (if occurred), the time between recumbency to standing position were recorded in all dogs.

Statistics:

Mean of the measured variables were compared among groups and within group using analysis of variances (ANOVA). Then *Banferroni* test was performed for pair wise comparison between means. The p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Result

There was no clinical or neurological complication like ataxia or weakness during or after inducing epidural analgesia in all dogs. No significant difference was observed in Mean \pm SD heart rate, respiratory rate and body temperature between all groups (p>0.05).

Analgesia onset time was 4.4 ± 1.4 min in group A, 4.6 ± 0.9 min in group B and 4 ± 0.3 in group C. No significant different was reported related to time to onset of action of the drugs among groups (p=0.8). Duration of sensory loss was significantly lower in group B (59 ± 15 min) compared to group A (109 ± 10 min) or C (127 ± 24 min) (P=0). Motor loss and paralysis did not occur in group B. In addition, the duration of motor loss was not significantly different between group A and C (p>0.05). Results are illustrated in table 1.

Table 1- Mean and standard deviation of the measured variables after administration of drugs to induce epidural analgesia		
p A Group B	Group C	
idocaine Meloxicam	Combination of 2 % lidocaine and meloxicam	
1.4^{a} 4.6 ± 0.9^{b}	4 ± 0.3^{a}	
1 ^c Not assigned	l 89±15 ^c	
10 ^d 59±15 ^e	127 ± 24^{d}	
	The measured variables andp AGroup BidocaineMeloxicam 1.4^a 4.6 ± 0.9^b 1^c Not assigned 10^d 59 ± 15^e	

The measured variables in groups with the common superscripts were not significantly different from each other at the 0.05 significance level.

Discussion

The efficacy of meloxicam to induce epidural analgesia in dogs, in addition to its effects when used in combination with lidocaine was assessed in the present study. NSIAD like ibuprofen, diclofenac, deraxcoxib and lornoxicam reported to have satisfactory effects to induce epidural analgesia in laboratory animals and dogs.^{6, 8, 14, 15} Results of the present study confirms safe induction of epidural analgesia by the use of meloxicam. Meloxicam did not affect or speed up the time to onset analgesia of lidocaine in epidural injection. Because the duration of sensory loss was not significantly extended when the drug used in combination with lidocaine, we concluded that the drug did not potentiate the analgesic effect of lidocaine. Therefore it is not advantageous when meloxicam is used in combination with lidocaine. This effect has been widely reported when the combination of lidocaine with opioids is considered.^{1, 2}

Loss of pain without recumbency is an interesting subject in medicine. In epidural analgesia, the degree of sensory block and motor block increases when the concentration

increases.^{2, 8} of the administered drug Management of the pain thorough administration of the drugs in to the epidural space is indicated in patients suffering from chronic pain like ostheoarthritis or cancerous pain. Also in many procedures like tail amputation, painful obstetric manipulations, or post-operative pain management paralysis of the legs is not necessary.³ Motor loss did not occur when meloxicam was used alone in group B. This is not clear whether meloxicam does not affect motor neurons in the spinal cord or increasing concentration of the drug is needed to produce motor block. However the later is more probable. Similar reports are existed regarding lack of motor loss when ropivacaine is used in epidural analgesia.^{16,17}. concentration By increasing the of ropivacaine, analgesia was more extensive, and motor block was considered moderate.¹⁷ Canduz *et al* in 2007 used different concentration of lornoxicam, the newly introduced NSIAD, in rabbits via epidural catheter and concluded that dose dependent analgesia and brief, mild, motor and sensory dysfunction can be provided.⁶

Being a COX 2 preferential NSAID besides lack of motor block in the epidural injection of 0.1 mg/kg, 7.5 mg/1 ml meloxicam in this study makes it an interesting and appropriate drug for post-operative pain relief. Epidural injection of the higher concentration of meloxicam might lengthen the duration of analgesia or even causes motor loss. Therefore further investigation of the higher concentration and dose response of sensory and/or motor block of meloxicam are recommended.

References

- Brockway, MS., Bannister, J., McClure, JH., McKeown, D., Wildsmith, JA. (1991) Comparison of extradural ropivacaine and bupivacaine. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* **66**, 31-37.
- Canduz, B., Aktug, H., Maviog⁻lu, O., Erkin, K., Yilmaz, O. (2007) Epidural lornoxicam administration – innocent. *Journal of Clinical Neurosciences* 14, 968–974.
- Caulkett, N., Read, M., Fowler, D., Woldner, CA. (2003) Comparision of analgesic effects of butorphanol withthose of meloxicam after elective Ovariohysterectomy in dogs. *Canadian Veterinary journal* **44**, 565 – 570.
- Dolan, S., Kelley, JG, Huan, M., Nolan, AM. (2003) Transient up-regulation of spinalcyclooxygenase-2 and neuronal nitric oxide synthase following surgical inflammation. *Anesthesiology* **98**, 170-180.
- Fowler, D., Isakow, K., Caulkette, N., Waldner, CH. (2003) An evaluation of the analgesic effects of meloxicam in addition to epidural morphine / mepivacain in dogs undergoing Cranial Cruciate ligament repair. Canadian *Veterinary journal* **44**, 643 – 648.
- Furst, DE. (1997) Meloxicam: selective COX-2 inhibition in clinical practice.

Seminars in Arthritis Rheumatoid 26, 21-27.

- Gangwar, AK., Devi, S., Singh, HN., Maurya, SK., Waghaye, JY. (2008) Epidural use of meloxicam for the management of traumatic arthritis in bovine. *Indian journal of animal sciences* **78**, 10-14.
- Hall, LW., Clarke, KW, Trim, CM. (2001).General principles of local analgesia.In: Veterinary anaesthesia. 10 th ed.Philadephia: WB Saunders Co 229-243.
- Karnik, PS., Johnston, S., Ward, D., Broadstone, R., Inzana, K. (2006) The effects of epidural deracoxib on the ground reaction forces in an acute stifle synovitis model. Veterinary Surgery 35, 34-42.
- Komoda, Y., Nosaka, S., Takenoshita, M. (2003) Enhancement of lidocaineinduced epidural anesthesia by deoxyaconitine in the rabbit. *Journal of Anestheiology* 17, 241-245.
- Masue, T., Dohi, S., Asano, T., Shimonaka, H. (1999) Spinal antinociceptive effect of epidural nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs on nitric oxideinduced hyperalgesia in rats. *Anesthesiology* **91**, 198-206.
- Pereira, DA., Marques, SJA. (2009) Morphine, Xylazin and meloxicam in pain management after ovario Salpingohysterectomy of bitches. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medecina Veterinaria e Zootecina* **61**, 353-361.
- Robertson, SA., Taylor, PM. (2004) Pain management in cats – past, present and future. Part 2.Treatment of pain – Clinical pharmacology. *Journal* of Feline Medicine and Surgery 6, 321–333.
- Scott, DA., Blake, D., Buckland, M., Etches, R., Halliwell, R., Marsland, C., Merridew, G., Murphy, D., Paech, M., Schug, SA., Turner, G., Walker, S., Huizar, K., Gustafson, U. (1999) A comparison of epidural ropivacaine

Iranian Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology, Vol. 6, No. 1

infusion alone and in combination with 1, 2 and 4 μ g/mL fentanyl for seventytwo hours of postoperative analgesia after major abdominal surgery, a dose finding study. *Anesthesia and Analgesia* **88**,857-900.

- Torske, KE, Dyson, DH. (2000) Epidural analgesia and anesthesia. Veterinary Clinics of North America: *Small Animal Practice* **30**, 859-874.
- Wang, BC., Li, D., Hiller, JM., Hillman, DE., Pasternack, BS., Turndorf, H. (1995) The antinociceptive effect of S-(+)-

Ibuprofen in rabbits: epidural versus intravenous administration. *Anesthesia and Analgesia* **80**, 92-96.

Zaric, D., Nydahl, PA., Philipson, L., Samuelsson, L., Heierson, A., Axelsson, K. (1996) The effect of continuous lumbar epidural infusion of ropivacaine (0.1%, 0.2%, and 0.3%) and 0.25% bupivacaine on sensory and motor block in volunteers.A double Regional blind study. Anesthesia **21**,14-20.

IJVST

بررسی اثرات بی دردی داروی ملوکسیکام به روش اپیدورال در سگ

أذين توكلى

گروه علوم درمانگاهی، دانشکده دامپزشکی، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، واحد گرمسار، گرمسار، ایران

دریافت مقاله : ۱۳۹۱/۱۱/۴ یذیرش نهایی: ۱۳۹۲/۱۲/۴

چکیدہ

بی حسی اپیدورال یکی از راههای موثر در ایجاد بی دردی است که به صورت گسترده ای در راستای ایجاد بی دردی در نواحی پرینال و اندام حرگتی خلفی در جراحی های ارتوپدی، پروسه های مامایی و مدیریت درد استفاده می شود. ۱۸ قلاده سگ سالم و بالغ از نژاد مخلوط بومی ایران، به صورت تصادفی به سه گروه مساوی تقسیم شدند. بی حسی اپیدورال در تمامی سگها به ترتیب زیر انجام شد : گروه دوم و ادغام هر دو داروی فوق در گروه سوم تجویز شد. هر ۵ دقیقه یکبار، تعداد ضربان قلب در دقیقه، تعداد تنفس در دقیقه و دمای گروه دوم و ادغام هر دو داروی فوق در گروه سوم تجویز شد. هر ۵ دقیقه یکبار، تعداد ضربان قلب در دقیقه، تعداد تنفس در دقیقه و دمای شد. هیچ عارضه ای حین ایجاد و در طول بی دردی اپیدورال مشاهده نشد. پس از آنالیز نتایج، اختلاف معنی داری در میانگین تعداد ضربان قلب در دقیقه، تعداد تنفس در دقیقه و دمای بدن مشاهده نشد. و طول دوره بی دردی و فلجی (در صورت بروز) ثبت شد. هیچ عارضه ای حین ایجاد و در طول بی دردی اپیدورال مشاهده نشد. پس از آنالیز نتایج، اختلاف معنی داری در میانگین تعداد ضربان قلب در دقیقه، تعداد تنفس در دقیقه و دمای بدن مشاهده نشد. (۵.0%). طول دوره بی دردی در گروه دوم (۱۵±۵۹) در گروه صورت معنی داری کمتر از گروه اول(۱۰±۱۰۹) دقیقه و سوم (۴۲±۱۲۷) دقیقه بود. (۲۰۵۵) م). همچنین فلجی پاهای خلفی در گروه دوم مشاهده نشد. در نتیجه داروی ملوکسیکام در ایجاد بی دردی اپیدورال در سگهای گروه دوم مشاهد. اگرچه بی حسی در طول دوره بی دردی اپیدورال ایجاد شد اما بی حسی حرکتی و فلجی در سگهای گروه دوم مشاهده نشد. بدین ترتیب مطالعات بیشتر با استفاده ز غلظتهای بالاتر داروی ملوکسیکام توصید می شود. همچنین این دارو موجب افزایش طول دوره بی دردی در ادام با لیدوکایین نگردید.

واژگان کلیدی: اپیدورال، ملوکسیکام، لیدوکایین، سگ

Iranian Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology, Vol. 6, No. 1