

DOI: 10.22067/veterinary.v9i2.57678



Received:2016-Jul-21Accepted after revision:2017-Jul-12Published online:2018-Jan-20

Detection of *Mycoplasma bovis* in bulk tank milk samples by nested PCR in Mashhad, Iran

Mehran Dabiri, Pezhman Mirshokraei, Mehrnaz Rad, Babak Khoramian

a Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran
 b Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

Keywords

Mycoplasma bovis, milk tank, Mashhad, nested PCR

Abstract

Mycoplasma bovis is a highly contagious major mastitis pathogen with multiple clinical presentations in dairy cows. This kind of mastitis does not respond to available antibiotics and actually there is no effective therapy for this infection, thus the best way of prevention and control is to diagnose and cull the affected cows in the herd. The objective of this study was to detect Mycoplasma bovis in bulk tank milk samples by nested PCR in Mashhad, Iran. One hundred and four fresh bulk tank milk samples from 52 dairy herds were collected four weeks apart. Mycoplasma bovis was not detected from any of them by either direct PCR on milk or after enrichment in modified Hayflick's broth. Two other mycoplasma species were detected after enrichment and one other mycoplasma

IRANIAN JOURNAL OF VETERINARY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

 Tel: +98 51 3880 3742
 Fax: +98 51 3876 3852

 Web: ijvst.um.ac.ir
 Email: ijvst@um.ac.ir

species without enrichment by mycoplasma spp. primer. Sequencing of the PCR products from two positive samples confirmed the presence of mycoplasma that were *Mycoplasma canadense* and *Mycoplasma yeatsii*.

Abbreviations

PCR: Polymer Chain Reaction PBS: Phosphate Buffered Saline SPP: species

Corresponding author:

Babak Khoramian Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Azadi Sq., Mashhad, Khorasan Razavi Province, Postal Code 9177948974, Iran Tel: +98 51 3880 5611 Fax: +98 51 3876 3852 E-mail: Khoramian@um.ac.ir

Detection of Mycoplasma in bulk tank milk

E-mail : Khoramian@um.ao

Introduction

Mycoplasma bovis is a highly contagious major mastitis pathogen with multiple clinical presentations in dairy cows [1]. Also it is the most pathogenic agent of outbreaks of mycoplasmal mastitis in cattle [1]. The most important route of transmission inside herds is milking instruments such as milking machines, teat cups and also milker's hands [2-4]. Recent infections usually occur after the introduction of infected replacements without any sanitary precautions [2, 4, 5]. Mycoplasmal mastitis does not respond to available antibiotics and actually there is no effective therapy for this infection [1], thus the best way of prevention and control is to diagnose and cull the affected cows in the herd [2, 4]. Identification of farms with mycoplasma problem and the infected cases within a herd can be performed with culture of milk from clinical mastitis suspected cows or bulk tank milk samples. Nowadays molecular approaches such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with higher sensitivity, higer specificity and in shorter time periods are widely used in laboratories [6]. Mastitis due to Mycoplasma is reported from many regions in the world, however there is only one report of prevalence of this microorganism from Iran [7], which had been performed in a western state by applying only the culture method. Therefore the objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of Mycoplasma bovis in bulk tank milk samples of herds in suburb of Mashhad in northeastern Iran by nested PCR.



Figure 1

Gel electrophoresis of amplicons after PCR.

(A) Agarose gel electrophoresis of the 1013-bp of 16S rRNA gene of *mycoplasma spp*. Lane 1: 100 bp DNA ladder; Lane 2: positive control; Lane 3: negative control; Lane 4: sample.
(b) Agarose gel electrophoresis to show the 1911-bp PCR product of *mycoplasma bovis*. Lane 1: DNA ladder; Lane 2: positive control; Lane 3: negative control; Lane 4: sample.
(C) Agarose gel electrophoresis to show the 442-bp nested PCR product of *mycoplasma bovis*. Lane 1: 100 bp DNA ladder; Lane 2: positive control; Lane 3: negative control; Lane 4: sample.

Results

Mycoplasma bovis was not detected from any bulk milk samples by either direct PCR on milk or after enrichment in modified Hayflick's broth (Figure 1). Two other mycoplasma species were detected after enrichment and another mycoplasma species without enrichment by mycoplasma spp. primer (Table 2). Sequencing of the PCR products from two positive samples confirmed the presence



Figure 2

Alignment of the sequence of PCR product of mycoplasma bovis 16s rRNA.

of mycoplasma that were *Mycoplasma canadense* and Mycoplasma yeatsii (Figure 2).

Discussion

This cross-sectional survey of bulk milk tank from representative dairy herds in Mashhad, Iran, was specifically aimed at determining *Mycoplasma bovis* and the possible role of other causative organisms. The findings indicated that no evidence of *Mycoplasma bovis* was found using nested PCR. Several PCR assays have been described in the scientific literature for the detection of *Mycoplasma* contrast with another study performed in Iran that reported high prevalence of mycoplasma mastitis [7]. This could be related to the improved mastitis control programs in farms during recent years or geographical differences in the two regions being studied with more than 1000 km distance between them. Investigations in other countries showed the between-herd prevalence of *Mycoplasma bovis* in bulk milk ranging from 0 to 8 percent in New Zealand [14], USA [15], Greece [16] and Belgium [17]. Detection of mycoplasma in bulk tank milk has been shown to be repeatable, but in our study one of the farms was negative in first sampling.

Table 1

The oligonucleotide primers used in this study

Sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature	Size of amplified product (bp)	Reference
5-GCTGGCTGTGTGCCTAATACA-3'	5.000	1013 bp	19
5-TGCACCATCTGTCACTCTGTTAACCTC-3'	56°C		
5'- TTTTAGCTCTTTTTGAACAAAt-3'	40%	1911 bp	11
5'-GGCTCTCATTAAGAATGTC-3'	48 C		
5'-CCAGCTCACCCTTATACATGAGCGC-3'	E 4ºC	442 bp	11
5'-TGACTCACCATTTAGACCGACTATTTCAC-3'	54 C		
	5-GCTGGCTGTGTGCCTAATACA-3' 5-TGCACCATCTGTCACTCTGTTAACCTC-3' 5'-TTTTAGCTCTTTTTGAACAAAt-3' 5'-GGCTCTCATTAAGAATGTC-3' 5'-CCAGCTCACCCTTATACATGAGCGC-3'	Sequence (5 - 5)temperature5-GCTGGCTGTGTGCCTAATACA-3'56°C5-TGCACCATCTGTCACTCTGTTAACCTC-3'56°C5'-TTTTAGCTCTTTTTGAACAAAt-3'48°C5'-GGCTCTCATTAAGAATGTC-3'54°C	Sequence (5'-3')Annealing temperatureamplified product (bp)5-GCTGGCTGTGTGCCTAATACA-3'36°C1013 bp5-TGCACCATCTGTCACTCTGTTAACCTC-3'48°C1911 bp5'-GGCTCTCATTAAGAATGTC-3'48°C1911 bp5'-CCAGCTCACCCTTATACATGAGCGC-3'54°C442 bp

bovis [8-12]. The nested *Mycoplasma bovis* PCR was selected for use in the survey as it was reported to have a detection limit of 5 cfu/ml of milk, was analytically specific, and had a better diagnostic sensitivity than culture [11]. In addition, the PCR assay using the primary primers [PpMB920-1 and -2] was reported to differentiate all true-positive and true-negative isolates in a blinded ring trial of different PCR systems and laboratories [13]. However, in this study for confirmation of results, we used another primer set for detection of mycoplasma spp. The results of this study showed that *Mycoplasma bovis* was not detected from any of 52 farms and other Mycoplasma spp. were detected in three of 104 bulk tank milk samples. This is in

This can be related to new epidemic of mycoplasma mastitis in the farm or cows which have already recovered from an initial infection and have stopped or lowered shedding mycoplasma to an undetectable limit. In addition, bulk-tank milk samples generally represent only healthy, untreated cattle within a herd, since milk from unhealthy or treated cattle is kept separately. In our study, enrichment in Hayflik media improved isolation of mycoplasma from bulk tank milk samples. Similarly, Gonzales and collagues showed the use of pre-enrichment in Hayflick's broth yielding 6% more isolates of Mycoplasma from bovine milk [1]. In addition, large herds (500 animals or more) were more likely to have positive Mycoplasma cul-

Table 2

Nested PCR and modified Hayflik's medium culture results for detection of mycoplasma in 104 bulk tank milk samples

	Mycoplasma Spp.		Mycoplasma bovis (nested PCR)	
	First sampling	Second sampling	First sampling	Second sampling
PCR on milk (without enrichment)	0/52	1/52	0/52	0/52
With enrichment	1/52	2/52	0/52	0/52

tures than medium-sized [100–499] or small herds (<100) [7].

Materials and Methods

The central region of Mashhad has a total of 57 dairy herds which included 16625 dairy cows. To ensure accurate screening, two fresh bulk tank milk samples from 52 herds were collected four weeks apart. Samples were obtained 1 to 2 hours after milking. The milk in the bulk tank was mixed for 5 to 10 minutes. Approximately 15 ml of bulk milk was taken from the top of the tank using a sterile syringe and pipette. The sample was poured in a 15 ml screw cap sterile conical tube and transported to the laboratory on the ice.

A method previously described by Pinnow et al. [11] was used to prepare the bulk tank milk samples. Briefly, milk samples were vortexed to homogeneity. 1 ml from each sample was transferred to a 1.5 ml microfuge tube, and 500µl of sterile PBS was added. After a short vortex, the samples were centrifuged at 14000×g for 20 minutes at room tempreture. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of PBS and centrifuged with the same conditions but for 10 minutes. Then, the pellet was subjected to DNA extraction (GeneAll[®], South Korea). The samples were pre-enriched in Hayflick's broth as described by Baas et al. [18]. 16S rDNA gene of Mycoplasma genus was detected by PCR method using specific oligonucleotide primers shown in Table 1 [11, 19]. Amplification was performed in a final volume of 25 ml containing 10 µl of Taq DNA polymerase 2x master mix red containing; 2 mM MgCl₂, Tris-HCl pH = 8.5, [NH4]₂S04, 4 mM MgCl₂, 0.2% tween 20, 0.4 mM dNTPs, 0.2 units/µl ampliqon Taq DNA polymerase inert red dye and stabilizer (Ampliqon®, Denmark), 0.5 mg/mL of each primer and 3µl template DNA. The PCR conditions consisted of a pre-denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 56°C and 30 s at 72° C. A final extension step was performed at 72° C for 5 min. Amplified products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. DNA bands were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide and photographed under UV illumination. The conditions for the nested PCR reaction were followed according to Pinnow et al. [2001]. In the external PCR reaction primers PpMB920-1 and PpMB920-2, and for nested reaction primers PpSM5-1 and PpSM5-2 (Table 1) were used. Each tube had a total volume of 25 µl, which contained the same concentration of reagents as the genus specific PCR reaction. The PCR conditions consisted of a pre-denaturation step at 94°C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s (for external reaction), 45 s (for internal reaction) at 94°C, 60 s at 48 °C (for external reaction), 54°C (for internal reaction), and 150 s (for external reaction), 120 s (for internal reaction), at 72°C. A final extension step was performed at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR product from the external reaction was diluted 1:100. Five microliters of this dilution was used as the template for the nested reaction. Positive and negative controls were always included. Amplified products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. DNA bands were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide and photographed under UV illumination.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Ferdowsi Uni-

versity of Mashhad (Grant number 3/29707, 1392/11/16). The authors would like to thank Mr. A. Kargar for assisting in laboratory measurements.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: B.K., P.M. Performed the experiments: M.D. Consulted for microbiology experiments: M.R. Wrote the paper: M.D., B.K.

Conflict of Interest

None of the authors of this paper have a financial or personal relationship with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

References

- González RN, Wilson DJ. Mycoplasmal mastitis in dairy herds. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice. 2003;19[1]:199-221.
- 2. Jasper D, Dellinger J, Rollins M, Hakanson H. Prevalence of mycoplasmal bovine mastitis in California. American journal of veterinary research. 1979;40[7]:1043-7.
- Jasper D. The role of Mycoplasma in bovine mastitis. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1982;181[2]:158-62.
- Bushnell RB. Mycoplasma mastitis. The Veterinary clinics of North America Large animal practice. 1984;6[2]:301-12.
- Gonzalez R, Merill R, Sears P. Shedding of Mycoplasma bovis from the udder of naturally infected cows and its importance for the diagnosis of bovine intramammary infections. Journal of dairy science. 1992;75[Suppl 1]:259.
- Sachse K, Pfützner H, Hotzel H, Demuth B, Heller M, Berthold E. Comparison of various diagnostic methods for the detection of Mycoplasma bovis. Revue scientifique et technique [International Office of Epizootics]. 1993;12[2]:571-80.
- Ghazaei C. Mycoplasmal mastitis in dairy cows in the Moghan region of Ardabil State, Iran: short communication. Journal of the South African Veterinary Association. 2006;77[4]:222-3.
- 8. Cremonesi P, Perez G, Pisoni G, Moroni P, Morandi S, Luzzana M, et al. Detection of enterotoxigenic Staphylo-

coccus aureus isolates in raw milk cheese. Letters in applied microbiology. 2007;45[6]:586-91.

- Hotzel H, Sachase K, Pfützner H. Rapid detection of Mycoplasma bovis in milk samples and nasal swabs using the polymerase chain reaction. Journal of applied bacteriology. 1996;80[5]:505-10.
- Ghadersohi A, Coelen R, Hirst R. Development of a specific DNA probe and PCR for the detection of Mycoplasma bovis. Veterinary microbiology. 1997;56[1]:87-98.
- Pinnow C, Butler J, Sachse K, Hotzel H, Timms L, Rosenbusch R. Detection of Mycoplasma bovis in preservative-treated field milk samples. Journal of dairy science. 2001;84[7]:1640-5.
- Cai HY, Bell-Rogers P, Parker L, Prescott JF. Development of a real-time PCR for detection of Mycoplasma bovis in bovine milk and lung samples. Journal of veterinary diagnostic investigation. 2005;17[6]:537-45.

- Bashiruddin JB, Frey J, Königsson MH, Johansson K-E, Hotzel H, Diller R, et al. Evaluation of PCR systems for the identification and differentiation of Mycoplasma agalactiae and Mycoplasma bovis: a collaborative trial. The Veterinary Journal. 2005;169[2]:268-75.
- 14. McDonald W, Rawdon T, Fitzmaurice J, Bolotovski I, Voges H, Humphrey S, et al. Survey of bulk tank milk in New Zealand for Mycoplasma bovis, using species-specific nested PCR and culture. New Zealand veterinary journal. 2009;57[1]:44-9.
- Fox L, Hancock D, Mickelson A, Britten A, Kaaden OR. Bulk tank milk analysis: factors associated with appearance of Mycoplasma sp. in milk. Journal of Veterinary Medicine, Series B. 2003;50[5]:235-40.
- Filioussis G, Christodoulopoulos G, Thatcher A, Petridou V, Bourtzi-Chatzopoulou E. Isolation of Mycoplasma bovis from bovine clinical mastitis cases in Northern Greece. The Veterinary Journal. 2007;173[1]:215-8.

- Passchyn P, Piepers S, De Meulemeester L, Boyen F, Haesebrouck F, De Vliegher S. Between-herd prevalence of Mycoplasma bovis in bulk milk in Flanders, Belgium. Research in veterinary science. 2012;92[2]:219-20.
- Baas E, Trotter S, Franklin R, Barile M. Epidemic caprine keratoconjunctivitis: recovery of Mycoplasma conjunctivae and its possible role in pathogenesis. Infection and immunity. 1977;18[3]:806-15.
- Lierz M, Hagen N, Harcourt-Brown N, Hernandez-Divers SJ, Lüschow D, Hafez HM. Prevalence of mycoplasmas in eggs from birds of prey using culture and a genus-specific mycoplasma polymerase chain reaction. Avian Pathology. 2007;36[2]:145-50.