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ABSTRACT

The anti-planktonic and anti-biofilm formation activity of Iranian pomegranate peel hydro-extract against Staphylococcus aureus

Hadi Ebrahimnejad, Maryam Ebadi, Ladan Mansouri-Najand

Staphylococcal infections and contaminations have elicited a growing and perennial concern in the medical and food industries. Meanwhile, the manifestation of antibiotic-resistant strains such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) beside the production of disinfectant-resistant biofilms makes the confrontation with the bacteria more cumbersome and challenging. Pomegranate peel as a waste product of juicing factories is a natural antibacterial agent. The pomegranate peel hydro-extract (PPHE), as a bio-friendly material, was prepared from an Iranian pomegranate cultivar, Rabab, and its phenolic compounds and antioxidant (via DPPH and FRAP assays) and anti-staphylococcal (anti-planktonic and anti-biofilm) properties were assessed. The Rabab PPHE inhibited planktonic cells and biofilm formation of three S. aureus. The Rabab PPHE produced large and obvious staphylococcal inhibition zones in which their diameters were significantly dose-dependent for the milk isolated S. aureus (p < 0.05). Despite the resistance of MRSA (ATCC 33591) to beta-lactam antibiotics, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of PPHE against its planktonic cells was only 3.75mg mL⁻¹. Furthermore, Rabab PPHE inhibited bacterial biofilms formation in a dose-dependent manner. The MIC of Rabab PPHE against planktonic milk-isolated S. aureus, S. aureus (ATCC 29737), and MRSA prevented 47, 36, and 26% of their biofilm formation, respectively. This addresses the differences between the anti-planktonic and anti-biofilm activity of Rabab PPHE. The anti-planktonic and to a lesser extent the anti-biofilm forming activity of this water-based extract supports the notion of its effectiveness and salubrious application in food and pharmaceutical industries.
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Introduction

The habitat of *S. aureus*, as a member of the *Micrococcaceae*, is nasopharynx and the hair and skin of more than 50% of healthy people. *Staphylococcus aureus* is the leading cause of staphylococcal food poisoning and extra-intestinal infections. *Staphylococcus aureus* produces many enzymes and toxins that sustain the bacterium and make it resistant to drugs. The enterotoxin of the bacterium is heat resistant, and therefore ordinary cooking, pasteurization, and drying do not easily destroy it (1). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is a beta-lactam antibiotics resistant bacterium (2). This bacterium was reported in humans and livestock (mastitic cattle milk) since 1961 and 1972, respectively (3). Antibiotic resistance among pathogens is a worldwide growing problem (4). In 2004, 59.5% of US health centers reported at least one case of MRSA (5). This bacterium has a methicillin resistance gene (*mec-A*). Strains that have this gene also resist many other antibiotics. The resistance makes it difficult to fight them and eventually leads to their further dissemination (6). Staphylococci can form structures called biofilms that attach different surfaces (7). The antibiotic-resistant sessile biofilm forms can further resist the host immune system or be a reason for food contamination and spoilage in the food industry (8-11).

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) is a native plant of Iran and its neighboring countries which its cultivars have various characteristics (12-14). Rabab pomegranate cultivar which has a thick peel is one of the largest commercial products in the Persian fruit industry (15-17). Pomegranate is classified as a medicinal plant because of its valuable functional compounds (12). Many of its phenolic compounds have drastic antibacterial and antioxidant properties (18-20). The pertinent application of water as a solvent for bioactive compounds extraction from pomegranate peel may provide a safe and relevant extract for the food and pharmaceutical industry which is missed in many evaluations. Until now, studies did not show cytotoxicity towards the by-products of the pomegranate juice industry at arbitrary concentrations (20). Presumably, the staphylococcal complications usually come from both the biofilm and planktonic forms. Lots of the antibacterial agent studies lack a co-assessment of the anti-planktonic and anti-biofilm activity of compounds (20-23). This study aims to assess the antioxidant, total phenolic, and antibacterial effects of an Iranian (Rabab) pomegranate hydro-extract against the planktonic and sessile life of *S. aureus*.

Results

The Rabab PPHE had pale pink to red color. The total phenolic evaluation of the extract revealed that Rabab PPHE has considerable phenolic compounds. Although the Rabab PPHE showed lower antioxidant activity than butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT; synthetic antioxidant), its antioxidant properties in either DPPH or FRAP assays were quite astonishing (Table 1).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1 shows the staphylococcal inhibition zones produced by Rabab PPHE. The illustrated inhibition zones were quite distinctive in which there was not any tiny colony within their radius. The formation of opaque-milky aura around the dug-wells was probably due to the effect of tannins and astringent compounds of the PPHE on the proteins of Mueller-Hinton agar medium (24).

By increasing the concentration of extract in agar-dug wells the staphylococcal inhibition zones enlarged (Figure 1 and Figure 2). The lowest Rabab PPHE concentration (6mg/well) showed a significantly lower antibacterial activity than other concentrations towards the milk-isolated *S. aureus* (*p* < 0.05). Intriguingly, the higher concentrations (12 and 24mg/well) did not ex-

<p>| Table 1 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Antioxidant activity (determined by FRAP and DPPH assays) and total phenolic content of Rabab PPHE.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extract type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabab PPHE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT (positive control)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not determined
PPHE: pomegranate peel hydro-extract
BHT: butylated hydroxytoluene
DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl assay
FRAP: Ferric reducing ability of plasma assay
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hibit a significant difference in their antibacterial activity ($p > 0.05$). Statistically, the inhibition zones produced by Rabab PPHE were 6mg/well < 12mg/well = 24mg/well. Notably, the inhibition zones of these three concentrations were not significantly different neither on MRSA nor $S. aureus$ (ATCC 29737) ($p > 0.05$).

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of PPHE was always higher than the MIC (Table 2).

![Figure 1](image)

Antibacterial activity of various concentrations of Rabab PPHE against $S. aureus$ by agar well diffusion technique.

| Table 2 | The MIC and MBC (mg mL$^{-1}$) of Rabab PPHE against different $S. aureus$. |
|-------------------------------------------------|
| Antibacterial agent | $S. aureus$ (ATCC 29737) | MRSA (ATCC 33591) | $S. aureus$ isolate (from milk) |
| MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC |
| Rabab PPHE | 15 | 30 | 3.75 | 15 | 15 | 60 < |
| Cefixime (positive control) | 8 | 12 | 265 < | 265 < | 8 | 24 |

MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration
MBC: minimum bactericidal concentration
PPHE: pomegranate peel hydro extract
MRSA: methicillin-resistant $Staphylococcus aureus$

The MIC and MBC of PPHE against MRSA were lower than other staphylococci. The lower MIC value of the extract towards MRSA represents its strong anti-planktonic activity. In contrast, the MRSA sensitivity to cefixime antibiotic (positive control) was lower than that of the rest of the bacteria. This antibiotic had a significant inhibitory effect on the other staphylococci that was illustrated by lower MIC and MBC values.

The Rabab PPHE inhibited MRSA biofilm formation by 2.8% at low concentration (0.5mg mL$^{-1}$), whereas the $S. aureus$ (ATCC 29737) biofilm was more sensitive than the MRSA to this concentration of Rabab PPHE. By increasing the concentration of PPHE, the anti-staphylococcal biofilm formation activity was also increased (Figure 3). The extract inhibited the biofilm formation of milk-isolated $S. aureus$ at intermediate concentrations (from 1.9 to 30mg mL$^{-1}$) more than other $S. aureus$ strains. This extract at 30mg mL$^{-1}$ inhibited more than 50% of the milk-isolated $S.$
Figure 2.
The effect of three concentrations of Rabab PPHE against S. aureus (n=4; mean ± SEM).

Figure 3.
The anti-biofilm forming activity of different concentrations of Rabab PPHE (n=4).

The anti-biofilm forming activity of the extract was increased profoundly from 30 to 60mg mL$^{-1}$ for MRSA and S. aureus (ATCC 29737). Accordingly, Rabab PPHE at 30mg mL$^{-1}$ was not sufficient to inhibit half of the MRSA and S. aureus (ATCC 29737) biofilms, while the concentration of 60mg mL$^{-1}$ prevented more than 65% of the biofilm formation by these strains. The milk-isolated S. aureus lost 25.7% of its biofilm formation ability while increasing the PPHE exposure from 0.9 to 1.9mg mL$^{-1}$.
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Discussion

The extract showed significant anti-staphylococcal and antioxidant activity in this study. It has been shown that the non-edible parts of the pomegranate have higher bioactivity (25). Various pomegranate peel metabolites are very complex (26). Rabab PPHE contains phenolic compounds (Table 1). Many phenolic compounds and organic acids such as gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, vanillic acid, p-coumaric acid, ellagic acid, malic acid, quinic acid, illicic acid, tannins, punicalin, punicalagin, grantin B, casuarinin, corilagin, methyl gallate, kaempferol, catechol, catechin, epicatechin, epigallocatechin 3-gallate, quercetin, rutin, pelargonidin, naringin, and luteolin, have been detected in pomegranate peel (27-30). Plant polyphenolic compounds have antimicrobial and antioxidant effects. In many studies, pomegranate peel alcoholic extracts demonstrated antimicrobial activity (21, 26, 31). Bioactive effects of pomegranate are due to various and abundant bioactive compounds such as tannins (especially ellagitannin, as a hydrolyzable tannin or prodelphinidin, as a condensed tannin). Two members of ellagitannins (namely ellagic acid and punicalagin) play a significant role in the antimicrobial and antioxidant effects (32, 33). The precipitation of cell membrane proteins by pomegranate peel phenolic compounds causes bacterial cell membrane leakage and ultimately results in cell lysis and death (25, 26). The toxicity of phenolic compounds against bacteria can also occur when they react with thiol groups of proteins that finally prevents the growth of the microorganism (25). Pomegranate peel extracts (esp. alcoholic) exhibited other deleterious effects on bacteria such as inactivating their enzymes or preventing their protein e.g., staphylococcal enterotoxin A (SEA) production (18, 32).

The concentration of the bioactive compounds in the pomegranate depends on the pomegranate cultivar and the different stages of plant growth (25, 26). Furthermore, the antibacterial and antioxidant activity of pomegranate extract depends on the plant cultivar and geographical origin, harvesting season, and extraction method (34). For example, the hydro-extracts of South Africa or Yemen pomegranate cultivars in previous studies, contrary to the current study, did not show antibacterial activity against \textit{S. aureus} (26, 35). The total phenolics and antioxidant capacity (evaluated by DPPH assay) of Rabab PPHE in this study were less than the methanolic extracts of other pomegranate cultivars in the Fawole et al. (2012) study (26). These differences are probably related to the characteristics of the pomegranate cultivars and the type of fruit peel extract. The extraction method plays an important role in the quality of the extract. It has been stated that the extraction of polyphenolic compounds depends on the type of solvent, plant particle size, solvent to plant solid ratio, and extraction temperature and time. Extract preparation with high temperatures for long times may reduce the level of polyphenols, including ellagitannin (36). Application of hot water or soxhlet extractor to obtain the extract and the autoclave-sterilization probably reduce its antibacterial activity (22, 23).
Pomegranate polyphenols are considerably extracted with hydrophilic solvents. The hydro-extracts lack the toxicity of solvent remnants and therefore can be stored wet while retaining high antioxidant activity (25). Furthermore, hydro-extracts are more compatible with the hydro-nature of the body cells and probably make better systemic effects. The nature of PPHE makes it a highly soluble and diffusible extract in the Muller-Hinton Agar medium. This extract has potent anti-staphylococcal effects, and the combination of this effect along with its facile diffusion generated significant bacterial inhibition zones even at low concentrations (Figure 1). The bacterial inhibition zones did not show any significant difference between the sensitivity of the three staphylococci exposing PPHE (p > 0.05).

The planktonic MRSA cells showed a significant sensitivity to the extract in MIC assay. Even the MBC of PPHE against this bacterial strain was lower than other staphylococci (Table 2). The MRSA infections are mainly divided into two hospitals acquired (HA-MRSA) and community-acquired (CA-MRSA) groups (4). Despite hospital infections, the prevalence of MRSA is higher in patients with open ulcers and immune deficiency (37). The sensitivity of MRSA to PPHE is very important due to the resistance of this bacterium to β-lactam antibiotics such as cefixime as a third-generation broad spectrum cephem (Table 2). Methicillin and beta-lactam interfere with bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan by binding the penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). Nevertheless, MRSA resists β-lactam antibiotics by producing PBP2a instead of PBPs due to the acquisition of the mec-A gene (4).

The staphylococcal biofilm formation was also strongly influenced by different concentrations of PPHE. The Rabab PPHE at a concentration of 60mg mL⁻¹ prevented nearly 70% of the staphylococcal biofilm formation. The anti-biofilm formation activity of PPHE was dose-dependent and elevated by increasing the extract concentrations. The extract at 1.9mg mL⁻¹ made a sharp slope in inhibiting the milk-isolated S. aureus biofilm formation. However, this sudden increase in the inhibition of MRSA and S. aureus (ATCC 29737) biofilm formation occurred at 60mg mL⁻¹ (Figure 3).

The extract at its MIC (15mg mL⁻¹) for the milk-isolated S. aureus and S. aureus (ATCC 29737) inhibited 47% and 36% of their biofilm formation, respectively. Moreover, 26% of the MRSA biofilm formation was inhibited by the MIC of the extract against this strain (i.e. 3.75mg mL⁻¹). Therefore, the MIC of PPHE against planktonic MRSA shows lower anti-MRSA biofilm formation activity regarding other staphylococci. Notably, the PPHE at the lowest concentration (0.5mg mL⁻¹) inhibited albeit a low percentage (2.8%) but substantial anti-MRSA biofilm formation activity (Figure 3). Hence, concentrations below the MIC also show anti-biofilm forming activity. Plausibly, inhibition of biofilm formation by the extract is achieved not only through bacterial growth inhibition but also through other mechanisms. The exact mechanism for the biofilm formation inhibitory activity of PPHE is still shrouded in mystery. There are some conjectures about especially the alcoholic extracts (32, 38-40). The effect of these extracts on biofilms is probably due to their ellagic acid. Pomegranate extract can precipitate proteins, such as adhesins, which are crucial in biofilm formation. Moreover, tannins such as ellagic acid alter the surface charge of bacteria and subsequently may interfere with the cell-substratum attachment. The pomegranate extract can also disrupt the pre-formed biofilms of various bacteria (32). It has been established that the hydro-alcoholic extract of pomegranate rind shows a good anti-quorum sensing activity. Quorum sensing is a kind of bacterial communication that biofilm formation interconnects with it (38).

In conclusion, Rabab PPHE shows remarkable anti-staphylococcal effects. The extract has high levels of phenolic compounds. Antioxidant and antibacterial effects of PPHE are probably due to its bioactive compounds such as tannins (e.g., ellagitannin or prodelphinidin). The application of this extract will be useful in inhibiting and eliminating staphylococcal food contaminations or body infections. These antibacterial effects against MRSA are of great importance. The PPHE showed lower anti-biofilm formation activity than the anti-planktonic activity against staphylococci. This was more vivid in the case of MRSA. Although inhibition of MRSA biofilm by PPHE begins at low concentrations, the PPHE level equivalent to the MIC has less inhibitory activity against MRSA biofilm formation than other staphylococci. Conversely, the effect of PPHE against some staphylococcal biofilms provokes the use of this water-soluble extract in food and pharmaceutical industries and even milking machines disinfection. However, the efficacy and stability of PPHE and its active ingredients under various industrial processing and simulated body conditions need to be profoundly explored.

Material and methods

Preparation of pomegranate peel hydro-extract (PPHE)

Commercially ripe and fresh pomegranates were harvested during October 2017 from mature trees. The pomegranates were from Rabab-e-Neyriz (from Fars Province) cultivar as a known Persian pomegranate cultivar. The pomegranate was authenticated by the Department of Plant Productions, Agricultural Faculty of Bardsir, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman. Fifty pomegranates were collected and flushed by tap water and then washed...
three times with distilled water. After drying, the pomegranates were peeled while the peel and pulp compartments were carefully separated from each other. The pomegranate peels were shadow dried for 7 days and then ground with a grinder. The Rabab peel powder mixed with distilled water (0.2g mL⁻¹) and the homogenate was agitated gently at 25 °C for 24h in a shaking incubator (JSSI-100C Compact shaking incubator, JSR). The suspension was centrifugated at 3000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C using Universal 320R centrifuge (Hettich, Tuttlingen, Germany). The supernatant filtered through a filter paper (Whatman No. 1) and after that filter-sterilized with 0.22μm filters (Millipore Sigma, Millex-GV). The filtrates were lyophilized and stored at 4 °C as the hydro-extraction providing 50% inhibition (IC50) was calculated from the standard curve prepared using iron (II) sulfate solution and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) used as a positive control. The absorbance of the control and “A blank” is the absorbance of the control and “A blank" is the absorbance produced by the extract. Extract concentrations providing 50% inhibition (IC50) was calculated from the radical inhibition vs PPHE concentration graph. BHT was used as a positive control (45).

The anti-staphylococcal activity of the extract was assessed in less than seven days while being filter-sterilized before application.

The anti-staphylococcal activity of PPHE was determined by the agar well diffusion method with some modifications (47, 48). The aforementioned S. aureus bacteria were inoculated on the cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II broth (90922, Fluka) at 37 °C for 18h. The bacterial suspension density was adjusted to equal to that of the 0.5 McFarland standard. The density standardized bacterial culture was swabbed on the solidified Mueller-Hinton agar (70191, Merck) and allowed to dry for 10 min. Thence, 6 mm-diameter wells were made with a sterilized cork-borer in the inoculated Mueller-Hinton agar plates. The lower part of wells was first sealed with a few drops of molten agar medium (49). 100 μL of the PPHE (6, 12, and 24mg per well) were added into the wells and allowed to diffuse at room temperature for 15 min. Negative (sterilized distilled water) and positive controls (Gentamicin sulfate salt, G1264 sigma; 10 μg well⁻¹) were also placed in wells. The plates incubated at 37 °C for 16-18h. The anti-staphylococcal activity of the extract revealed by the formation of bacterial inhibition zones around the wells and the diameter of the halos were measured by a caliper.

The 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) antioxidant assay of PPHE

This assay is based on the ability of antioxidants to decolorize DPPH, a stable free radical. Briefly, the PPHE was diluted in methanol, and 50 μL of each dilution was mixed with 2.5 mL of a fresh DPPH radical methanol solution (0.004%; w/v). The purple mixture allowed to stand for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. The absorbance (593 nm) was recorded after 5 min. Similarly, the standard curve was prepared using iron (II) sulfate solution and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) used as a positive control. The antioxidant capacity was expressed as mmol of Fe (II) per g extract (44).

The anti-staphylococcal activity of the Rabab PPHE was determined by the agar well diffusion method with some modifications (47, 48). The aforementioned S. aureus bacteria were inoculated on the cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II broth (90922, Fluka) at 37 °C for 18h. The bacterial suspension density was adjusted to equal to that of the 0.5 McFarland standard. The density standardized bacterial culture was swabbed on the solidified Mueller-Hinton agar (70191, Merck) and allowed to dry for 10 min. Thence, 6 mm-diameter wells were made with a sterilized cork-borer in the inoculated Mueller-Hinton agar plates. The lower part of wells was first sealed with a few drops of molten agar medium (49). 100 μL of the PPHE (6, 12, and 24mg per well) were added into the wells and allowed to diffuse at room temperature for 15 min. Negative (sterilized distilled water) and positive controls (Gentamicin sulfate salt, G1264 sigma; 10 μg well⁻¹) were also placed in wells. The plates incubated at 37 °C for 16-18h. The anti-staphylococcal activity of the extract revealed by the formation of bacterial inhibition zones around the wells and the diameter of the halos were measured by a caliper.

The anti-staphylococcal activity of PPHE

The antibacterial activities of PPHE against S. aureus (ATCC 29737), Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) (ATCC 33591) and the milk-isolated S. aureus were assessed by agar well diffusion method. The MIC of PPHE against the planktonic bacteria was assessed via a micro-broth dilution technique. The MBC of PPHE was further assessed. Finally, the ability of PPHE in the prevention of staphylococcal biofilm formation was measured by a microtiter plate test.

Agar well diffusion assay

The anti-staphylococcal activity of the Rabab PPHE was determined by the agar well diffusion method with some modifications (47, 48). The aforementioned S. aureus bacteria were inoculated on the cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II broth (90922, Fluka) at 37 °C for 18h. The bacterial suspension density was adjusted to equal to that of the 0.5 McFarland standard. The density standardized bacterial culture was swabbed on the solidified Mueller-Hinton agar (70191, Merck) and allowed to dry for 10 min. Thence, 6 mm-diameter wells were made with a sterilized cork-borer in the inoculated Mueller-Hinton agar plates. The lower part of wells was first sealed with a few drops of molten agar medium (49). 100 μL of the PPHE (6, 12, and 24mg per well) were added into the wells and allowed to diffuse at room temperature for 15 min. Negative (sterilized distilled water) and positive controls (Gentamicin sulfate salt, G1264 sigma; 10 μg well⁻¹) were also placed in wells. The plates incubated at 37 °C for 16-18h. The anti-staphylococcal activity of the extract revealed by the formation of bacterial inhibition zones around the wells and the diameter of the halos were measured by a caliper.

Determination of MIC and MBC of PPHE

The MIC of PPHE against the planktonic bacteria was assessed using a 96-well sterile microtiter plate as described before via a micro-broth dilution technique (50). Briefly, the MICs were evaluated after providing 2-fold dilutions of the extract (60 to 0.47mg mL⁻¹) with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II broth. The overnight bacterial suspensions were diluted and added into the wells to provide the final inoculum of 5×10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹. After incubation (37 °C, 24h), optical densities (OD620) of the extract exposed bacteria were studied relative to the negative control. The bacterial growth inhibition was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{OD}_{620E} - \text{OD}_{620B}}{\text{OD}_{620G} - \text{OD}_{620B}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where, “OD_{620E}”, “OD_{620B}”, and “OD_{620G}” are the optical densities at 620 nm for extract containing wells, background control wells, and growth control wells, respectively. The MIC was the lowest concentration of PPHE that completely (100%) inhibited bacterial growth. After the MICs were read and recorded, the 96-well MIC plates were shaken and re-incubated for an extra 4h at 37°C. Subsequently, the bacteria of wells with no visible bacterial growth were enumerated using Trypticase soy agar (22091, Merck) at 37 °C/24h. The minimum bactericidal concentration was defined as the lowest concentration of PPHE that causes ≥99.9%
staphylococcal kill relative to the first inoculum. Cefoxime trihydrate (18588, Fluka) was used as a positive control.

**The anti-biofilm forming activity of PPHE**

To evaluate the effect of PPHE against staphylococcal biofilm formation, a microtiter plate adhesion assay was applied (51). In a 96-well plate, the PPHE was serially diluted with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II broth from 60 to 0.47 mg mL⁻¹ in a 2-fold manner. Thence, 100 μL of diluted overnight staphylococcal suspension (1:100) was added to each well. The microtiter plate was incubated at 37 °C for 24h to let the bacteria form different levels of biofilm. After incubation, 200 μL of crystal violet (0.06%, w/v) was added to each well and the plate was shaken three times to help the biofilms stain. After 15 minutes at 25 °C, each well was washed at least three times with sterile normal saline (200 μL) to remove planktonic cells and the unfixed stain. The biofilm-bound crystal violet was further extracted with 200 μL of ethyl alcohol (95%) and transferred to a 96-well plate. The absorbance (595 nm) was recorded by a microplate reader to determine the level of biofilm. After incubation, 200 μL of crystal violet (0.06%, w/v) was added to each well and the plate was shaken three times to help the biofilms stain. After 15 minutes at 25 °C, each well was washed at least three times with sterile normal saline (200 μL) to remove planktonic cells and the unfixed stain. The biofilm-bound crystal violet was further extracted with 200 μL of ethyl alcohol (95%) and transferred to a 96-well plate. The absorbance (595 nm) was recorded by a microplate reader to determine the level of biofilm formation. Culture medium and also different concentrations of the extract without the bacteria were used as the control. The inhibitory activity of PPHE on the staphylococcal biofilm formation was evaluated by comparing the ODs of the treatments with negative controls.

**Statistical analysis**

Anti-staphylococcal inhibition zones and anti-biofilm forming activities were represented as mean ± SEM of the results in quadruplicates. Data analysis was carried out using SPSS software (SPSS, Chicago, Ill., USA). One-Way ANOVA followed by Dunncan’s post hoc test (alpha = 0.05) was used to analyze the differences of inhibition zones between staphylococci and also the levels of PPHE.
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فعالیت عصاره آبی پوست انار ایرانی علیه سلولهای پلانکتونیک و تشکیل بیوفیلم استافیلوکوکوس اورئوس

هادی ایراهامی نژاد۱، مریم عبادی۲، لadan منصوری نژاد۳

چکیده

عفونت‌ها و آلودگی‌های استافیلوکوکی باعث نگرانی‌های در حال رشد و مکرر در صنایع پزشکی و غذایی شده‌اند. در ضمن، ظهور سویه‌های استافیلوکوکوس اورئوس مقاوم به آنتی‌بیوتیک‌های سنتی (MRSA) باعث تمام حمله می‌شود. استفاده از ماده‌های طبیعی ضایعات به ویژه باکتری‌های مقاوم می‌تواند به بیماران بهبود برساند. پوست انار به عنوان یک محصول ضایعات کارخانه‌ای به عنوان یک محصول ضایعات کارخانه‌ای در صنایع غذایی استفاده می‌شود. عصاره آبی پوست انار رباب، یک ماده ضد‌بیولوژیکی طبیعی است که می‌تواند به‌عنوان یک محصول ضایعات کارخانه‌ای در صنایع غذایی استفاده شود. عصاره آبی پوست انار رباب سلولهای پلانکتونیک و تشکیل بیوفیلم استافیلوکوکوس اورئوس را مهار می‌کند. در این مطالعه، انسداد بیوفیلم با استفاده از عصاره آبی پوست انار رباب بروز می‌رسد. این عصاره به عنوان یک محصول ضایعات کارخانه‌ای در صنایع غذایی استفاده می‌شود.