The Histopathological Survey of Uterine Tissue in Holstein Dairy Cows with or without Recorded Reproductive Disorders
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Abstract

The objective of the study was to evaluate the uterine histopathological changes in Holstein dairy cows, within the range of 90-145 day in milk, with or without clinical history of reproduction system disorders. Lactating Holstein cows (n=133) with (n=92, 69.17%) or without (n=41, 30.82%) recorded clinical signs of reproduction diseases were examined for uterine histopathological lesions. The cows in treatment group were inseminated artificially for 3 times after calving or did not have any recorded estrus signs. The cows in control group were not inseminated. Biopsy of the endometrium and submucosa were obtained using a sterile alligator-jawed (rounded) biopsy forceps. The inseminated cows were at various stages of the estrus cycle. The results showed that 64.13% and 46.34% of cows with or without recorded reproductive disorder had histopathological lesions, respectively. There were no significant differences in histopathological changes between cows with or without reproductive disorder history (P≥0.05). Chronic endometritis was the most common microscopic lesion in cows with (34.61%) or without (17.94%) recorded reproductive disease. Clinical endometritis was the most common reproductive disorder (20.65%). The rate of histopathological lesions in repeat breeder cows was16.3%.

In conclusion, endometrial biopsy could be a useful diagnostic tool to evaluate future fertility of the dairy cows because, cows with or without reproductive disorders may show histopathological lesions.
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Introduction

The main aim of reproduction management in dairy cattle herds is to get animals pregnant at a suitable interval after calving (Plazier et al., 1986). Endometritis is the inflammation of endometrium without systemic signs due to pathogenic agents (Bondurant et al., 1999). In order to treat and to quantify the severity of the disease, it is crucial to diagnose uterine problems as soon as possible to have a good subsequent fertility. There are many factors causing reproductive inefficiency in dairy cows (Radostitis et al., 1994), particularly managerial factors such as inefficient estrus detection (Ferguson and Galligan, 1999). Uterine disorders, primarily nonspecific uterine infections, reduce the reproductive efficiency of dairy cows. In some herds, significant postpartum cows may be diagnosed and treated for uterine infections. Uterine infections usually increase herd health costs, often reduce feed consumption, cause an appreciable reduction in milk production, and increase culling rate. Clearly, uterine dysfunction can have a major impact on the profitability of a dairy operation. Timely and accurate diagnosis is essential to ensure appropriate management of uterine infections. Some researchers suggest that diagnosis of uterine infections are too subjective and often are inaccurate (Paisley et al., 1986; Gilbert, 1992). Rectal palpation of the uterus, examination of the vagina with a speculum, culture of uterine secretions and evaluation of uterine biopsies are the techniques available for diagnosing uterine infections. Uterine biopsies can provide meaningful prognostic information about the reproductive health (Bonnett et al., 1993).

Since reproduction system disorders including uterine infections are so important, therefore, much more scientific reports have been published especially in fields such as metritis, endometritis and pyometra which are the most common uterine disorders. Following parturition, natural mating, artificial insemination and infusion of irritant materials into the uterus, endometritis may occur. Endometritis can be diagnosed by rectal examination, ultrasonography, vaginoscopy, cytology evaluation, and uterine biopsy. Evaluation of uterine biopsy samples is not a common practice in cows as compared to mares. However, it is a useful procedure at 26-40 days postpartum (Etherington et al., 1988; Youngquist and Thrifall., 2007).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the uterine histopathological changes in Holstein dairy cows with or without any history of reproduction system disorders.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The study population consisted of 133 lactating Holstein cows from eight industrial commercial dairy herds in Tehran and khorassan Razavi province in North-east of Iran, including 92 (69.17%) cows with recorded reproductive diseases and 41 (30.82%) cows without any recorded clinical signs assigned as treatment and control groups, respectively. Herd size ranged 80 to 950 Holstein milking cows and all cows were in their 90-145 days in milk. Treatment group cows had been inseminated artificially 3 times in standing heat without success or, had not shown any signs of estrus 90 days postpartum. The treatment group had a history of reproductive diseases including: abortion (n=11, 11.95%), stillbirth (n=10, 10.86%), dystocia (n=9, 9.78%), retention of fetal membranes (RFM) (n=14, 15.21%), clinical endometritis (n=19, 20.65%), metritis (n= 5, 5.43%), repeat breeder (animal that had a regular estrus cycle but failed to conceive after three consecutive inseminations)(n= 17, 18.47%), vaginitis (n = 4, 4.34%) and cervicitis (n=3, 3.26%). They were treated using routine local, systemic and supportive techniques. At examination, cows were first inspected for the presence of discharge on the vulva, perineum, or tail.

Control group cows were healthy cows without any clinical signs of reproductive diseases and had not been inseminated postpartum.
Biopsy and pathological evaluation

At the time of biopsy, the perineal area and vulva were washed with soap and rinsed 3 times with water. The vulva was then disinfected with a 2% solution of povidone iodine and then cows were restrained for sampling. The specimens were obtained using a sterile alligator-jawed (rounded) biopsy forceps 55 to 70 cm in length with a basket 20 X 4 X 3 mm in size. The vulvar lips were pulled apart and forceps was inserted into the anterior vagina with one hand, and the other hand was inserted into the rectum. The forceps was guided through cervix into the uterine horns. The jaws of the forceps were opened and medial wall of the uterine horns were gently pushed laterally into the jaws by the hand which was in the rectum and jaws were shut gently. The biopsy forceps was withdrawn from the genital system. In order to prevent the secondary infection, after withdrawal of the forceps, a 5% Oxytetracycline solution (Razak Co, Iran) was infused into the uterus.

The uterine specimen was removed from the forceps with a needle, placed in 10% formalin and sent for histopathological examinations. 6µm thick tissue sections were stained with Hematoxylin & eosin and evaluated by light microscope.

Histopathological assessment

Subjective and quantitative histological assessments were performed by a pathologist who had no history of the cows.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by Chi-square statistical method using SPSS software version 9. P values equal or smaller than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Totally, 78 cows in the treatment (n= 59, 64.13%) and control (n=19, 46.34%) groups showed histopathological lesions of different types, (Table 1 and 2). There were no significant differences regarding the histopathological changes of treatment and control groups (P≥0.05). Endometritis was the main cause of lesions as indicated in the histopathological evaluation and chronic endometritis was the most frequent pathological finding in both groups (Table 2 and Fig. 1). It was shown that cows with a history of repeat breeding were mostly affected by histopathological lesions (16.3%) (Table 3), but, 14.13% of cows with clinical endometritis showed microscopic changes. Cows with cervicitis did not show uterine lesions, histopathologically.

Discussion

The goal of reproduction management in dairy herds is to get cows become pregnant at a biologically optimal time at an economically profitable interval after calving. In an effort to improve diagnosis of bovine infertility in postpartum cows endometrial biopsy is suggested to be performed (Skjerven, 1956). Over 50 years later there are still no explicitly defined criteria which, when assessed from endometrial biopsies, are known to be predictive of reproductive performance. Time of sampling has ranged from early postpartum to immediately prior to breeding to hundreds of days following the preceding parturition (repeat breeders) (Brenda et al., 1991).

Most of uterine histopathological studies are more relevant to humans than to livestock, and most of the animal references are relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cows</th>
<th>Histopathological lesions</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ (%)</td>
<td>- (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With reproductive disorders</td>
<td>59 (64.13)</td>
<td>33 (35.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without reproductive disorders</td>
<td>19 (46.34)</td>
<td>22 (53.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>78 (58.64)</td>
<td>55 (41.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-significant (P≥0.05).

Table 1: The frequency of uterine histopathological lesions in Holstein dairy cows.
Table 2: Distribution of different uterine histopathological lesions in Holstein dairy cows with or without reproductive diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uterine histopathological lesions</th>
<th>Experimental groups</th>
<th>No. of control cows (%)</th>
<th>No. of treatment cows (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic endometritis</td>
<td>14(17.94)*</td>
<td>27 (34.61)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic follicular endometritis</td>
<td>1 (1.28)</td>
<td>5 (6.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic proliferative endometritis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (2.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute proliferative endometritis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (1.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute endometritis</td>
<td>2(2.56)</td>
<td>6 (7.69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute secretional endometritis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 (5.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute papillary hyperplastic endometritis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (2.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pustular endometritis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (2.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute fibrosis and atrophy of endometrial glands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (1.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute fibrinous endometritis</td>
<td>1(1.28)</td>
<td>2(2.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metritis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (2.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis of endometrium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 (5.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference between groups (P≤0.05).

Table 3: Distribution of different reproductive diseases and histopathological lesions in Holstein dairy cows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reproductive disease</th>
<th>No. of tested cows (%)</th>
<th>Uterine histopathological changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abortion</td>
<td>11 (11.95)</td>
<td>7 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth</td>
<td>10 (10.86)</td>
<td>6 (6.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystocia</td>
<td>9(9.78)</td>
<td>7 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFM*</td>
<td>14(15.21)</td>
<td>9 (9.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical endometritis</td>
<td>19(20.65)</td>
<td>13 (14.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metritis</td>
<td>5(5.43)</td>
<td>1 (1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat breeder</td>
<td>17(18.47)</td>
<td>15 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginitis</td>
<td>4(4.34)</td>
<td>1(1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicitis</td>
<td>3(3.26)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RFM: Retained fetal membranes

Figure 1: Chronic proliferative endometritis showing heavy infiltration of lymphoblastic cells in endometrium (H&E X 320).

to mares than to food animals. Endometrial biopsy is the most definitive diagnostic tool for endometritis in the mare which is recognized as time consuming and expensive (Sheldon and Dobson, 2004; Gilbert et al., 2005). This study showed that 46.34% of healthy cows had uterine lesions in biopsy evaluation. Moreover, it was demonstrated that endometritis was the most common lesion in the postpartum cows. The clinical signs of uterine disorders, such as endometritis, often can not be demonstrated by rectal or vaginal examination. In more severe cases, mucopurulent discharge from the uterus may be present in the vagina. For the detection of uterine exudates and endometritis, vaginal examination is an indicative tool (Youngquist and Threlfall, 2007).

At the time of estrus, flakes of pus in the estrual mucus, indicates presence of a possible
endometritis. The source of these flakes may be difficult to delineate clinically as much as they may come from the vagina, cervix or uterus. However, uterine disorders diagnosis on the basis of clinical examination is not reliable. A careful examination and biopsy may eliminate other possible causes of infertility. Diagnosis of possible uterine problem(s) may be performed by examination of biopsy material. The present study showed that even healthy cows without any history of reproductive disorders had uterine histopathological changes (Table 1 & 2). On the other hand, some cows with different reproductive diseases also had no histopathological changes (Table 3).

It is important to be able to diagnose the presence of bovine uterine infection to facilitate timely and appropriate treatment and to quantify the severity of disease, which allows a prognosis to be given for subsequent fertility. Unfortunately, a golden standard for diagnosis of bovine uterine disorders is not available, making it difficult to measure the sensitivity and specificity of clinical definitions (Skjerven, 1954). There is little information about the correlation of clinical and histopathological observations, although the presence of pus in the vagina was correlated with the presence of pathogenic agents in the uterus (Dohmen et al., 1995; Williams et al., 2005).

The present study showed that cows in ≥90 days postpartum with or without reproductive disorders had uterine histopathological changes (Table 1, 2 and 3). There are different degrees of endometrial inflammation associated with normal involution. However, we must be able to identify the truly diseased cows so that appropriate treatments may be administered (Sheldon and Dobson, 2004). Endometritis has been divided into two categories including clinical and subclinical (Sheldon et al., 2006). Clinical endometritis is characterized by the presence of mucopurulent or purulent uterine discharge 21 days, or more, postpartum. Subclinical endometritis is defined as inflammation of the uterus without uterine exudates. These reproductive disorders are not accompanied with systemic signs (Youngquist and Threlfall, 2007). The incidence of clinical and subclinical endometritis has been reported 53% at 34-47 days postpartum. In our study, the prevalence of histopathological uterine changes with or without recorded reproductive disorders was 64.13% and 46.34%, respectively (Tables 1 & 2), including different kinds of endometritis. In this study, it was shown that endometritis is the most common pathological lesion in healthy animals and those with impaired reproduction system. The above mentioned lesions are not life-threatening but the inflammation may influence embryo survival (Gilbert et al., 1995; Hansen et al., 2004). Affected cows may conceive after AI, but they are not able to keep the conceptus. Uterine pathological lesion may be accompanied with establishment of uterine bacterial infection which may depend on the endocrine environment; in particular, progesterone seems to suppress uterine immunity. It has been found that chronic uterine infection and increased plasma concentration of lipopolysaccharide were associated with disruption of the LH surge and failure of ovulation (Karsch et al., 2002; Sheldon and Dobson, 2004). Bacterial contaminations of the uterus may originate from outside, faulty AI and/or uterine infusions in most of the postpartum cows. Elimination of this contamination is dependent on endometrial regeneration and uterine defense mechanisms. Non-infectious causes such as: mismanagement of dairy cattle herds, heat detection, AI in wrong time, high milk production, and malnutrition may be the reasons of unsuccessful conception and low reproductive performance. However, the cleanness of the farm, particularly the calving area and proper hygiene during assisted calving are thought to influence the uterine postpartum problems (Youngquist and Threlfall, 2007).

The definitive diagnosis of endometritis can be made on the basis of histopathological examination of endometrial biopsies and could be predictive for next fertility (Bonnett et al., 1993).

Cows in the present study did not get
pregnant after 3 times AI or ≥ 90 days postpartum. Cows with endometritis had a longer period to pregnancy. Affected cows can reduce pregnancy rate up to 30% at the first insemination. Clinical endometritis can reduce pregnancy rate and correspondingly 70% more likely to be culled due to reproductive failure (LeBlanc et al., 2002). In a study, cows with endometritis had a hazard ratio for pregnancy of 0.83, which the median days open was increased from 110 to 125 days (Lee et al., 1989). However, they measured risk of culling due to non-pregnancy which is most likely the true cause of removal from the herds.

In the present study, it was found that cows with reproductive disorders had histopathological lesions (Table 3) but surprisingly, out of 16.3% of cows that were repeat breeders, only 1.08% had a history of endometritis. All of these defects may be associated with reduced pregnancy rate. Frazer (2005) has concluded that embryo mortality rate is high in repeat breeder cows during development in early stages, i.e. 6 to 7 days after breeding. Uterine trauma such as, dystocia, manual removal of retained placenta and intra-uterine infusions reduce the uterine defense mechanism activities (Paisley et al., 1986; Hussain, 1989). The majority of dairy cattle experience bacterial contamination of the uterus at the time of parturition. If these bacteria are not cleared by the cow’s defense mechanisms, a uterine infection ensues. Inflammation of multiple tissue layers including the endometrium and myometrium that can extends beyond the puerperal period, is known as metritis (Youngquist and Threlfall, 2007). However, the presence of histopathological changes may be due to incomplete treatment of metritis. Proper attention to facilities maintenance and sanitation quality, periparturient hygiene, especially during assisted calving may be the best way for prevention of reproductive system infection (Lewis, 1997).

In this study, chronic endometritis was the major lesion of the cows. It was shown that there were strong association between reproductive diseases and endometritis (Sheldon and Dobson, 2004). However, subclinical endometritis can affect conception rates to first service and overall pregnancy (Gilbert, 2004). Therefore, the absence of pus in the vagina does not always reflect the absence of inflammation in the uterine lumen (Sheldon and Dobson, 2004). However, biopsy technique is expensive and time consuming, not clinically accessible in most conditions, and may have a negative effect on the future fertility (Sheldon et al., 2006).

In conclusion, this study showed that uterus with or without disorders might have histopathological lesions. The most common lesion of uterus was endometritis in ≥90 day postpartum cows. However, results must be interpreted with regard to the days postpartum. Further research is needed to refine the inputs into economic decision-making tools to answer these questions under a variety of management conditions.
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بررسی هیستوپاتولوژیکی بافت رحم در گاو‌های شیری هلشتنی

با و یا بدون اختلالات تولید مثلی

مسعود طالب خان گروسی ۱، فرهنگ ساسانی ۲، برویز هورشتی ۳

۱گروه علوم درمانگاهی، دانشکده دامپزشکی، دانشگاه فردوسی مشهد، مشهد، ایران
۲گروه های پاتوبیولوژی و علوم درمانگاهی، دانشکده دامپزشکی، دانشگاه تهران، تهران، ایران

چکیده

هدف از انجام این مطالعه ارزیابی تغییرات هیستوپاتولوژیک رحم گاو‌های شیری، با و یا بدون داشتن سابقه اختلالات تولید مثلی بود.

گاو‌های قهوه‌ای نزاد هلشتنی (۱۳۲ رأس) با (۹۲/۱۷، ۹۶/۸۳% آمیخته درمان 2-3 رأس) در علاوه برای زایمان، ژیک و رحمی درمان ۲-۳ رأس، با تلقیش مصنوعی شده و ۲-۳ رأس، پروز علاوه برای زایمان، بودند. تغییرات در تغییرات هیستوپاتولوژیک رحم گاو‌ها با و یا بدون داشتن سابقه اختلالات تولید مثلی بودند. 

اعداد بیشتر ارزیابی با استفاده از پن بیوپسی با دهانه سوسماری گردید. در تغییرات هیستوپاتولوژیکی، تغییراتی ملکرک و بافت بود. نتایج نشان داد که با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید. بیوپسی آن، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسماری گردید و با استفاده از بیوپسی، با دهانه سوسمارس...