

# Comparison of application of combination immunomagnetic separation and chromagar salmonella medium with conventional culture method for rapid isolation and detection of Salmonella in bovine diarrheic samples

Nemat Shams

Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Lorestan University, Khorram-Abad, Iran

## Keywords

*Salmonella*; immunomagnetic separation; CHROMagar *Salmonella* medium

## Abstract

Various techniques and culture media were developed for rapid identification of *Salmonella* serovars. However, there are still problems with their sensitivity and specificity. In an attempt to reduce the time spent to obtain a result and to minimize the problems associated with rapid detection systems such as interference from food ingredients debris, micro flora in feces, and lack of sensitivity, there has been a lot of interest in the development of separation and concentration techniques prior to detection of pathogenic organisms. Various techniques have been utilized for this purpose including: filtration, centrifugation, and lectin-based biosorbents. However, the most successful of the approaches for separation and concentration of target organisms has been the use of Immunomagnetic Separation (IMS). This study was conducted with the objective of comparing the conventional microbiological methods to detect salmonella in diarrheic samples with Immunomagnetic separation combined with chromagar

salmonellae medium (IMS-CAS). Of the 400 fecal samples tested by the conventional microbiological and IMS-CAS methods, 33 (8.25%) was culture positive for *Salmonella* serotypes. The IMS-CAS method gave better results than the conventional microbiological method with less false-positive colonies. Sensitivities for the conventional microbiological method and the IMS-CAS were 100%. The specificity of the IMS-CAS method (99.73%) was significantly higher than that of the conventional microbiological method (94.55%). The use of plating IMS on CAS medium demonstrated high levels of sensitivity and specificity and reduced the time to final identification of *Salmonella* spp., resulting in substantial cost savings. It can be recommended for the primary isolation of *Salmonella* spp. from stool specimens.

## Abbreviations

CAS: CHROMagar *Salmonella*  
ELISA: Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay  
IMS; Immunomagnetic Separation  
Mac. Agar: Macconkey Agar  
PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction  
TSI: Triple Sugar Iron  
XLD: Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate

## Introduction

Salmonellosis is a gastroenteritis caused by different serotypes of *Salmonella* and it is the most common type of food poisoning in the world. Isolation of the organism by stool culture remains the most reliable method for detection, allowing precise identification of the bacteria and antimicrobial susceptibility testing, both of which are critical for disease control. Conventional isolation procedures for the detection of *Salmonella* in feces and food products involve pre-enrichment in non-selective broth, selective enrichment and subculture to differential agar. Subsequent biochemical and serological identification of presumptive *Salmonella* colonies prolong this technique so that these methods take an average of 4–6 days to be completed for negative and positive samples, respectively (Blackburn, 1993; Fung, 2002; Amani et al., 2015).

A number of rapid methods for the detection of *Salmonella* in Clinical and food samples have been developed, including electrical techniques, immunoassays and nucleic acid probe analyses. However; there are still problems with their sensitivity and specificity. Immunomagnetic Separation (IMS) technique has been applied to a diverse range of bacteria, viruses and parasites in diagnostic microbiology (Olsvik et al., 1994; Salehi et al., 2007). The use of IMS of *Salmonella* from samples by plating onto differential agar has been applied to replace the selective enrichment phase and as a screening test prior to culture to reduce the detection time of positive samples during the investigation of an outbreak involving a retail premise (Safarik et al., 1995; Coleman et al., 1995b).

The main objective of this study is to compare fast methods for the detection of *Salmonella* in diarrheic samples using Immunomagnetic Separation followed by culturing in CHROMagar *Salmonellae* Medium.

## Materials and Methods

### Samples

In all, 400 bovine diarrhoeic fecal samples from dairy herds were collected. Fecal samples freshly placed into plastic bags and were kept at 4°C and immediately transported to Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, where they were processed within 48 h of collection. The samples were obtained from dairy herds of Tehran, Golestan and Lorestan provinces in Iran.

### Conventional microbiological method

Ten grams of fecal samples were inoculated into 9 ml selenite - cystein broth (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for overnight enrichment at 37°C, and later plated on MacConkey agar (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for primary selection. After 24 h incubation at 37°C, presumptive *Salmonella* isolates were confirmed using conventional biochemical tests [triple sugar iron agar (TSI) and urea]

and serotyping of isolates was performed by standard agglutination test using O and H antisera (Bacto-*Salmonella* O antisera; Difco™; Becton Dickinson and Company, Detroit, MI, USA).

### CHROMagar *Salmonellae* Medium preparation

CHROMagar *Salmonellae* (CAS), a proprietary product, was provided for evaluation by CHROMagar Microbiology, Paris, France. The medium was supplied as a white powder in pre-weighed batches sufficient for 250 ml and was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. Powdered CAS was added to distilled water and was dissolved by slow rotation. When it was dissolved, the medium was boiled with continuous stirring for about 2 min until the complete fusion of the agar grains was detected. After boiling, the medium was swirled gently while cooling to 50°C. Then 20 ml of the medium was dispensed into sterile petri dishes and it was allowed to solidify and dry with the plates lids kept agar. As indicated by the manufacturer, CAS plates were stored at room temperature in a dark container and were used within a week.

### Immunomagnetic Separation (IMS)

IMS was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions using magnetic beads coated with the anti-*Salmonella* antibody (Dynabeads® anti-*Salmonella*, Dynal A.S, Oslo, Norway). In brief, one ml of the each sample was added to 20 µl of washed Dynabeads® anti-*Salmonella* in 1.5 ml micro centrifuge tube. The tubes were incubated for 15 min at room temperature with gentle agitation on a tilt-rotating device. The antibodies coated onto the beads bonded to *Salmonella* antigen forming the beads – bacteria complex. The bead-bacteria complexes were separated using a magnetic particle concentrator (MPC) (Dynal MPC-M; Dynal A.S, Oslo, Norway). After discarding the supernatant, beads with attached bacterial cells were washed two times in 1 ml of washing buffer (PBS pH 7.2, and 0.05% Tween 20). The beads were resuspended in 200 µl of phosphate-buffered saline, cultured on CHROMagar *Salmonella* plates overnight at 37°C and examined for the presence of *Salmonella* colonies. Serotyping of the presumptive isolates was tested as described previously.

## Results

### Serotyping

Of the 400 fecal sample tested in this study by conventional microbiological and IMS-CAS methods, 33 (8.25%) were culture positive for *Salmonella* serotypes. Of the 33 different serotypes identified, *Salmonella typhimurium* (6.7%) was the most commonly isolated serotype, followed by *Salmonella dublin* (9.1%), *Salmonella virchow* (6.1%), *Salmonella gloucester* (6.1%), and *Salmonella enteritidis*, *Salmonella georgia*, *Salmonella augustinborg* and *Salmonella lindenburg*, each of them being 3%). The majority of

**Table 1**Time required for completion of IMS-CAS and conventional culture method for detection of *Salmonella* in bovine diarrheic samples

Detection method	Culture Procedures	Biochemical tests	Total time (hours)
Conventional microbiology	Pre-enrichment (24h) Selective enrichment (24h) Selective culture on agar (24 h)	Biochemical (24h)	4 days
IMS-CAS	IMS (1 h) Plating and incubation (24h)	Biochemical (24h)	49 hours

*Salmonella* field isolates identified belonged to *Salmonella* serogroup B (72.8%), and 12.1% to *Salmonella* serogroup D. *Salmonella* belonging to serogroup C1 (12.1%) and serogroup C2 (3%) were also identified. Time required for completion of each procedure is shown in Table 1.

### Comparison of detection methods

When comparing the two methods (conventional microbiological methods and IMS-CAS), we note that all the samples that have been *Salmonella* positive by microbiological method were also positive by IMS-CAS. No false negatives were obtained from either of the test methods, resulting in 100% sensitivity for the identification of *Salmonella* (Table 2).

### Discussion

In an attempt to reduce the length of routine microbiological analysis and to minimize the problems associated with rapid detection systems such as interference from feces, foods and food ingredient debris, background micro-organisms, and lack of sensitivity, there has been a lot of interest in the development of separation and concentration techniques prior to detection. Various techniques have been utilized for this purpose including: centrifugation, filtration, and lectin-based biosorbents (Odumeru and Leon-Velarde, 2012). However, the most successful of the approaches for the separation and concentration of target organisms has been the use of Immunomagnetic Sep-

aration. The advantages of IMS are that it reduces the total analysis time and improves the sensitivity of detection. IMS is rapid, technically simple, and a specific method for the isolation of the target organisms (Show et al., 1998). Paramagnetic particles are coated with antibodies specific to the target organism and added to a post enrichment culture. The target organism is captured onto the magnetic particles and the whole complex is then removed from the system by the application of a magnetic field. Target organisms are thus removed from food debris and competing microorganisms, which may otherwise interfere with the detection system. If required, the isolated complex may be re-suspended in an enrichment broth so that cell numbers can be rapidly increased to improve the sensitivity of detection assays. In addition, IMS by design can be used in conjunction with other rapid detection methods, including plating to differential agar, ELISA, conductance microbiology, electrochemiluminescence, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to further increase its analytical sensitivity (Yang and Li, 2006; Salehi et al., 2007; Taha et al., 2010; Koluman et al., 2012; Brandao et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2014). It has been reported that IMS is more sensitive than conventional culture methods and is able to reduce the total culture analysis time by one to two days (Taha et al., 2010; Chao-Yu et al., 2014; Fengying et al., 2015).

In comparison with conventional cultural methods, the IMS-CAS is a rapid, specific method for the detection of *Salmonella* in diarrheic samples that contain a high amount of other microorganisms. The shortened protocols for *Salmonella spp.* detection described here can offer considerable improvement over current methodologies. Separation

**Table 2**Comparison IMS-CAS and conventional culture method for specific identification of *Salmonella* in bovine diarrheic samples

Method	No. of samples	No. of isolates with true positive results	No. of isolates with true negative results	No. of isolates with false positive results	No. of isolates with false negative results	Sensitivity (100 %)	Specificity (100 %)
Conventional microbiology	400	33	347	20	0	100	94.55
IMS+CAS	400	33	366	1	0	100	99.73

of target organisms following use of IMS is considerably quicker than using selective enrichment and may assist in the recovery of injured cells (Blackburn et al., 1993; Odumeru and Leon-Velarde, 2012; Amani, et al., 2015). When combined with plating to differential agar, Dynabeads anti-*Salmonella* have been shown to give 100% correlation with conventional culture for the detection of *Salmonella* in a variety of food, feed and environmental samples (Shaw et al., 1998; Maddocks et al., 2002). Improved isolation rates have been described when using IMS in the isolation of salmonellae from raw chicken carcasses (Coleman et al., 1995a; Conceicao et al., 2008; Rita de Cássia et al., 2008), and skimmed milk powder (Dziadkowiec et al., 1995).

Compared to the number of false-positive colonies on other selective media such as MacConkey agar, Hektoen agar, *Salmonella-Shigella* agar and XLD agar we observed far fewer false-positive colonies on CAS, and all of them could be ruled out as *Salmonella* spp. Furthermore, the good sensitivity of CAS qualifies this medium for use in the primary plating of stool specimens when searching for *Salmonella* spp.

Considering our results, we feel that the use of IMS-CAS provides a time-saving method for the detection and presumptive identification of *salmonella* in the routine analysis of stool specimens. Interpretation of colors is easy, and all colonies of *salmonella* tested displayed the same color and morphology.

## Acknowledgments

.....

## References

- Amani, J., Mirhosseini, S.A. and Imani Fooladi, A. A. (2015) A Review Approaches to Identify Enteric Bacterial Pathogens. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology* 8, (2):e17473.
- Blackburn, C.W. (1993) Rapid and alternative methods for the detection of salmonellas in foods. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 75, 199–214.
- Brandão, D., Liébana, S., Campoy, S., Cortés, P., Alegret, S., Pivdorí, M.I. (2013) Electro-chemical magneto-immunosensing of *Salmonella* based on nano and micro-sized magnetic particles. 8th Ibero-American Congress on Sensors, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 421- 012020.
- Chao-Yu, H., Bing-Mu, H., Tien-Yu, C., Tsui-Kang, Hsu., Shu-Min, S., Yi-Chou, Chiu., Hung-Jen, W., Wen-Tsai, J., Cheng-Wei, F., Jyh-Larng, Chen. (2014) Evaluation of Immunomagnetic Separation for the Detection of *Salmonella* in Surface Waters by Polymerase Chain Reaction. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 11, 9811-9821
- Coleman, D.J., Chick, K.E. and Nye, K.J. (1995a) An evaluation of immunomagnetic separation for the detection of salmonellas in raw chicken carcasses. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 21, 152–154.
- Coleman, D.J., Nye, K.J., Chick, K.E. and Gagg, C.M. (1995b) A comparison of immunomagnetic separation plus enrichment with conventional *Salmonella* culture in the examination of raw sausages. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 21, 249–251.
- Conceicao, R.S., Moreira, A.N., Ramos, R.J., Goularte, F.L., Carvalhal, J.B., Aleixo, J.A.G. (2008) Detection of salmonella spp in chicken cuts using immunomagnetic separation. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 39, 173–177.
- Dziadkowiec, D., Mansfield, L.P. and Forsythe, S.J. (1995) The detection of *Salmonella* in skimmed milk powder enrichments using conventional methods and immunomagnetic separation. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 20, 361–364.
- Fengying, D., Miao, Z., Bingbing, H., Yongjun, S., Qunwei, Tang., Meihong, D., Xin, Z. (2015) Immunomagnetic nanoparticles based on a hydrophilic polymer coating for sensitive detection of *Salmonella* in raw milk by polymerase chain reaction. *RSC Advances* 5, 3574-3580.
- Fung, D.Y. (2002) Predictions for rapid methods and automation in food microbiology. *Journal of AOAC International* 85, 1000–1002.
- Koluman, A., CeliK, G., Unlu, T. (2012) *Salmonella* identification from foods in eight hours: A prototype study with *Salmonella* Typhimurium. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology* 4 (1), 15-24.
- Maddocks, S., Olma, T and Chen, S. (2002) Comparison of CHROMagar *Salmonella* Medium and Xylose-Lysine-Desoxycholate and *Salmonella-Shigella* Agars for Isolation of *Salmonella* Strains from Stool Samples. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 40(8), 2999–3003.
- Odumeru, J. A., León-Velarde C. G. (2012) *Salmonella* Detection Methods for Food and Food Ingredients, *Salmonella - A Dangerous Foodborne Pathogen*, InTech, pp. 373-392.
- Olsvik, O., Popovic, T., Skjerve, E., Cudjoe, K.S., Hornes, E., Ugelstad, J. and Uhlen, M. (1994) Magnetic separation techniques in diagnostic microbiology. *Clinical Microbiology Review* 7, 43–54.
- Rita de Cássia dos Santos da Conceição, Ângela Nunes Moreira, Roberta Juliano Ramos, Fabiana Lemos Goularte, José Beiro Carvalhal, and José Antonio Guimarães Aleixo. (2008) Detection of *Salmonella* spp in chicken cuts using immunomagnetic separation. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 39(1), 173–177.
- Safarik, I., Safarikova, M. and Forsythe, S.J. (1995) The appli-

- cation of magnetic separations in applied microbiology. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 78, 575–585.
- Shaw, S.J., Blais, B.W., Nundy, D. (1998) Performance of the Dynabeads anti-Salmonella system in the detection of Salmonella species in foods, animal feeds, and environmental samples. *Journal of Food Protection* 61, 1507–1510.
- Salehi T.Z., Tadjbakhsh, H., Atashparvar, N., Nadalian, M.G., Mahzounieh M.R.(2007) Detection and identification of Salmonella Typhimurium in bovine diarrhoeic fecal samples by immunomagnetic separation and multiplex PCR assay. *Zoonoses Public Health*. 54(6-7), 231-236.
- Taha, E.G., Mohamed, A., Srivastava, K. K., Reddy, P. G.(2010) Rapid Detection of Salmonella in Chicken Meat Using Immunomagnetic Separation, CHROMagar, ELISA and Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR). *International Journal of Poultry Science* 9 (9), 831-835.
- Yang, L.J., Li, Y.B. (2006) Detection of viable Salmonella using microelectrode-based capacitance measurement coupled with immunomagnetic separation. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 64, 9-16.
- Zheng, Q., Mikš-Krajnik, M., Yang, Y., Xu, W., Yuk, H.G.(2014) Real-time PCR method combined with immunomagnetic separation for detecting healthy and heat-injured Salmonella Typhimurium on raw duck wings. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 1(186), 6-13.

DOI: 10.22067/veterinary.v8i1.48417

### مقایسه کاربرد توأم جداسازی ایمونومگنتیک و کروم آگار سالمونلا با کشت متداول برای جداسازی و تشخیص سریع سالمونلا در نمونه‌های اسهالی گاو

نعمت شمس

گروه پاتوبیولوژی دانشکده دامپزشکی دانشگاه لرستان، خرم آباد، ایران

پذیرش نهایی ۱۳۹۵/۰۹/۰۹

دریافت مقاله: ۱۳۹۴/۰۴/۲۳

#### چکیده

تکنیک‌ها و محیط‌های کشت متنوعی برای تشخیص سریع سرووارهای سالمونلا توسعه یافته است. با این همه هنوز مشکلات حساسیت و ویژگی‌های آنها پابرجا است. به منظور کاهش زمان اخذ نتیجه و به حداقل رساندن مشکلات مرتبط با روش‌های سریع نظیر تداخل بقایای مواد غذایی و میکروفلورای مدفوع و نیز فقدان حساسیت، توجه زیادی به توسعه روش‌های جداسازی و تغلیظ ارگانیس‌های بیماریزا قبل از تشخیص معطوف گشته است. روش‌های متنوعی نظیر فیلتراسیون، سانتریفیوژ و بیوسوربت‌های لکتینی استفاده شده است، لیکن موفق‌ترین روش برای این منظور روش ایمونومگنتیک بوده است. این بررسی با هدف مقایسه روش کشت متداول جهت شناسایی سالمونلا در نمونه‌های اسهالی گاو با روش توأم ایمونومگنتیک و محیط کروم آگار سالمونلا (IMS-CAS) انجام گرفت. از ۴۰۰ نمونه اسهالی که با هر دو روش کشت متداول میکروبی و IMS-CAS مورد آزمایش قرار گرفت، ۳۳ نمونه (۸/۲۵ درصد) برای سالمونلا مثبت بودند. روش IMS-CAS نتایج بهتر و پرگنه‌های مثبت کاذب کمتری نشان داد. حساسیت هر دو روش کشت متداول میکروبی و IMS-CAS ۱۰۰ درصد بود. ویژگی روش IMS-CAS (۹۹/۷۳ درصد) بطور معنی داری بالاتر از روش کشت متداول میکروبی (۹۴/۵۵ درصد) بود. نتایج نشان داد که استفاده از تکنیک ایمونومگنتیک و کشت آن بر روی محیط کروم آگار سالمونلا درجه بالایی از حساسیت و ویژگی نشان داده و زمان تشخیص سالمونلا را کاهش و در نتیجه کاهش هزینه‌ها می‌شود. روش ایمونومگنتیک برای جداسازی اولیه سالمونلاها در نمونه‌های مدفوعی توصیه می‌شود.

واژگان کلیدی: سالمونلا، جداسازی ایمونومگنتیک، کروم آگار سالمونلا