

Iranian Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology

Received:2023- Jan- 24 Accepted after revision:2023- Apr- 24 Published online:2023- Apr- 26

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

DOI: 10.22067/ijvst.2023.80505.1223

# Therapeutic Effects of ADU-S100 as STING Agonist and CpG ODN1826 as TLR9 Agonist in CT-26 Model of Co-Ion Carcinoma

Sare Hajiabadi, Soodeh Alidadi , Mohammad M. Ghahramani Senoo, Zohreh Montakhab Farahi, Hamid Reza Farzin, Alireza Haghparast

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran .

Program for Genetics and Genome Biology, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada.

Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Agriculture Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Mashhad, Iran.

#### ABSTRACT

Cancer immunotherapy emerged as a novel therapeutic approach to destroy tumor cells, and it has grown toward clinical transition following successful fundamental research and clinical trials. Immunotherapy by efficacious adjuvants is critical for increasing protective immune responses against infectious diseases and cancers. STING and TLR9 agonists are interesting candidates for novel immunotherapies of cancers. In this study, the antitumoral effects of ADU-S100, as a potent STING agonist, and CpG ODN1826, as a TLR9 agonist, in single and combined forms in CT-26 colon adenocarcinoma model were evaluated. This model was induced in female BALB/c mice which were divided into five groups treated with PBS, ADU-S100 (20 and 40  $\mu$ g), CpG ODN (40  $\mu$ g), and ADU-S100 (20  $\mu$ g)+CpG ODN (20  $\mu$ g). The tumor volumes and weights of mice were measured every other day. On the 30th day, the tumor, spleen, and liver tissues of mice were isolated for histopathological assessment. Hematological analysis was performed on heart blood. Intratumoral injection of agonists induced significant tumor suppression in all treatment groups with profound effect in the combination group that received half concentration of single form. Moreover, the histopathological analysis of tumor tissues showed the presence of apoptotic and inflammatory cells and increased the number of lymphocytes in the blood samples of the treatment groups indicating the effective role of these agonists in clearing the tumor. Therefore, a such synergy of adjuvants may have an effective role in cancer immunotherapy and offer new perspectives on the combination of agonists that trigger innate immune sensors during malignancy.

#### Keywords

STING agonist, TLR9 agonist, Synergistic effect, Immunotherapy, Colon carcinoma model

#### Abbreviations

STING: Stimulator of interferon genes TLR9: Toll-like receptor 9 CpG ODN: CpG oligodeoxynucleotides

https://IJVST.um.ac.ir

Number of Figures:4Number of Tables:2Number of References::32Number of Pages:9

IRF7: Interferon regulatory factor 7 MYD88: Myeloid differentiation primary response 88 DCs: Dendritic cells

#### Introduction

There are different treatment methods for cancers, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and surgery. However, each procedure has limitations that affect the diagnosis and survival of patients [1]. In recent years, immunotherapy, as a promising treatment approach that triggers the immune system against a broad category of cancers, has had principal efficacy on some patients with metastatic tumors [2]. Recent investigations opened a novel chapter as immunoadjuvants for cancer immunotherapy by the initial activation of innate and subsequent adaptive immune responses [3].

One of the key immunoadjuvants is TLR agonists that bind to the receptors on the endosomal compartments of mainly immune cells and lead to inflammatory reactions and adaptive immune responses. One of the important agonists of TLR9 is CpG ODN as a synthetic TLR9 ligand that can activate the signaling pathway through MyD88 and IRF7 to produce type I interferons and through NF-κB signaling pathway to stimulate immune cells to induce pro-inflammatory cytokines production [4]. CpG ODNs as a potent cancer vaccine adjuvant can increase the proliferation of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells against tumor antigens and trigger Th1-type immune responses that stimulate antigen-specific adaptive immunity [4, 5]. CpG-ODN 1826, as a class B CpG specific for murine TLR9, induces the production of pro-inflammatory cytokine and antibodies from B cells and has demonstrated encouraging outcomes by reducing tumor growth and improving survival in clinical trials [6-8]. Another important immunoadjuvant identified in recent years is STING which is stimulated with cytosolic dsDNA and induces the transcription of numerous innate immune genes [9].

STING is an adaptor protein localized predominantly on the ER membrane. In response to specific agonists, STING induces a signaling pathway that leads to the production of IFN- $\beta$  as a critical pro-inflammatory cytokine [10]. Consequently, DCs are activated, and cytotoxic T lymphocytes are recruited in TME. There are different agonists for inducing STING,

Abbreviations-Cont'd

NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa B TME: Tumor microenvironment dsDNA: Double-stranded DNA ER: Endoplasmic reticulum IFN: Interferon SC: subcutaneous HE: Hematoxylin-eosin CBC: Complete blood count cGAMP: Cyclic guanosine monophosphate–adenosine monophosphate CDN: Cyclic dinucleotides APC: Antigen-presenting cell

> Hajiabadi et al., IJVST 2023; Vol.15, No.2 DOI: 10.22067/ijvst.2023.80505.1223

among which MLRRS2 CDA (also called MIW815 or ADU-S100) is known as a powerful vaccine adjuvant. It induces TME activation in a variety of tumor types by priming the antigen-specific CD8+ T cell and lasting immune-mediated tumor rejection [11]. Due to the improved features of ADU-S100 compared to other STING agonists, the therapeutic effects of this agonist in combination with different immunomodulatory agents have been evaluated [12].

In this study, we investigated the synergistic effect of ADU-S100 in combination with CpG ODN1826 in a mouse model of colon carcinoma. Furthermore, we evaluated the ability of single and combination forms of agonists on tumor growth and survival rate in a CT-26 colon carcinoma model. Our results suggest that combined ADU-S100 and CpG ODN1826 have a significant antitumor impact, making them a promising immunotherapeutic agent for the treatment of colon cancer.

## Results

Anti-tumoral activity of CpG ODN 1826 and ADU-S100 in single and combined forms against established CT-26 colon adenocarcinoma

ADU-S100 is a new STING agonist that affects tumor cells by activating the STING signaling pathway in TME and priming APC and CD8+ T lymphocytes. Intratumoral injection of these molecules into various cancer models, including CT26 colon cancer, B16 melanoma, and 4T1 breast cancer models, showed stronger antitumor outcomes [11, 13, 14]. On the other hand, CpG ODN 1826, as a well-known B-type CpG, has been utilized as an adjuvant for vaccines and successfully evaluated in a number of vaccination models [15]. This study investigated whether the combination of CpG-ODN 1826 and ADU-S100 attenuates tumor growth more than the single forms of agonists in the CT-26 cancer model.

In order to induce mice with tumors, CT-26 cell suspensions were subcutaneously implanted into the right flank of animals and treated with 20  $\mu$ g of CpG ODN 1826 and ADU-S100 together or twice the concentration of single agonists (40  $\mu$ g). ADU-S100 (20  $\mu$ g) was used to confirm the synergistic effect of agonists. The volume and weight of tumors in the mice were recorded every other day. As can be seen in Table 1, significant tumor regression was observed in all treatment groups compared to the control group (p < 0.0001). The highest suppression of tumor growth was observed with the synergy of two agonists from 1952 to 32 mm3.

In ADU-S100 (40  $\mu g)$  and combination groups, only one out of seven treated mice did not show a

decrease in tumor volume (Figure 1B and 1D). In CpG ODN (40  $\mu$ g) group, five mice showed a considerable shrinkage in tumor volume, but in the other two members of this group, tumor volume reached 358 and 624 mm3 on the 30th day (Figure 1C). Although in ADU-S100 (20  $\mu$ g), the average tumor volume showed a smaller decrease compared to the double concentration of this agonist, the change was significant compared to the control (Figure 1A). These results indicated that the synergistic antitumor effects of ADU-S100 when combined with CpG ODN is ap-

proximately equal to those of ADU-S100 when administrated at higher doses (twice the concentration). Images of CT26 tumor-bearing mice and treated mice of all groups on the 30th day are represented in Figure 2.

At the end of the experiment, mean tumor weight was considerably lower in the combination group (0.08 g) and other treatment groups than in the control (2.01g) (p < 0.05) (Figure 3A). The curve of spleen weight is shown in Figure 3B and spleen weight was positively correlated with tumor weight. This differ-



#### Figure 1.

Intratumoral (IT) administration of STING and TLR9 agonist reduces tumor volume in CT-26 adenocarcinoma model. (A) Mice were injected with  $3\times105$  CT-26 cells (in 100 µl of PBS) SC on day 0. On days 10 and 16, mice were given IT injections of PBS, ADU-S100 (20 and 40 µg), CpG ODN (40 µg), and ADU-S100 (20 µg) + CpG ODN (20 µg) (n=7). tumor volumes were monitored every other day for 30 days.





#### Figure 3.

At the end of the experiment, the tumor and spleen tissues were isolated and weighed. Tumor weight significantly decreased in the treatment group compared to the control (A). The spleen weight of mice was recorded for the treatment and control groups (B). Survival percentage of treated mice enhanced compared to the control (C). The body weight of mice in different groups did not change during the experiments (D). ADU-S100 (20 µg) was displayed as ADU-S100 (1/2). Results are displayed as mean ± SD and statistical significance was indicated as  $*p \le 0.05$  and  $**p \le 0.01$ .



Hajiabadi et al., IJVST 2023; Vol.15, No.2 DOI: 10.22067/ijvst.2023.80505.1223

20

Days after tumor inoculation

30

40

STING and TLR9 agonists in colon cancer therapy

0-

ò

10

ence was significant in the ADU-S100 (40  $\mu$ g) and combined groups which had the smallest tumor volume at the end of the experiment. During the study, the mice survival rate increased from 71.4% in the control group and ADU-S100 (20  $\mu$ g) to 100% in other treatment groups (Figure 3C). Furthermore, the body weight of animals was also monitored during the experiment and no significant weight change was observed compared to the control group (Figure 3D).

## *Histological examination of the tumor, spleen, and liver tissues*

Microscopic examination of the tumor tissues showed round, polygonal, spindle-like, polymorph neoplastic cells of varied sizes, containing prominent one or more nuclei, within a delicate stroma. The control group displayed a large number of tumor cells undergoing mitosis (Figure 4A). In addition, some criteria, such as necrosis and hemorrhage as signs of malignancy, were detected in the control group. Compared to the control group, increased numbers of apoptotic cells were observed in the treatment groups (Figure 4 B-E). Histological analysis of the spleen and liver tissues was performed to assess the abnormal



#### Figure 4.

Hematoxylin-Eosin staining of tumor tissues in the control (A), ADU-S100 20  $\mu$ g (B), ADU-S100 40  $\mu$ g (C), CpG 40  $\mu$ g (D), and ADU-S100 20  $\mu$ g + CpG ODN 20  $\mu$ g (E). Liver metastasis in the control group (F). Cells undergoing mitosis in the control group are displayed with black arrows (A). Typical features of apoptosis (B-E, yellow arrows) are shown in the treatment groups.

effects of the agonists on the reticuloendothelial tissues. No obvious histological changes were detected in the spleen tissue in none of the treatment groups. The liver microstructure of the control group revealed tumor metastasis, while the treatment groups were normal (Figure 4F).

## Hematological analysis of whole blood

CBC experiments showed that the number of lymphocytes increased significantly in the ADU-S100 (40  $\mu$ g), CpG ODN (40  $\mu$ g), and ADU-S100 (20  $\mu$ g) + CpG ODN (20  $\mu$ g) groups (p < 0.05) indicating the recruitment of immune cells to the TME (Table 2). Other hematological factors measured in this research were not significantly different between the control and treatment groups.

## Discussion

In the TME, immune cells and tumor cells can be affected by STING and TLRs activation. In addition, T cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and APCs as the main cells in this pathway, can lead to type I IFN pro-

duction with STING stimulation [11, 16]. Type I IFN signaling is important for antitumor immune responses through stimulating apoptosis and anti-proliferative responses acting directly on tumor cells leading to tumor suppression [17]. Due to the role of the STING pathway in the generation of spontaneous immune responses against tumors, STING agonists were discovered for direct pharmacologic stimulation of this signaling pathway [18-20]. Among STING agonists, the intratumoral administration of CDNs, such as ADU-S100, into different types of cancer inhibited tumor growth, generated lasting and systemic antigen-specific T cell immunity capable of rejecting distant metastases, improved survival of mice, and induced direct apoptosis of cancer cells [13, 21-23]. On the other hand, CpG ODNs have been considered widely as a new choice for vaccine adjuvants because of their powerful capacity to enhance vaccine immunogenicity against cancer [3, 24]. In this research, we examined the antitumor effects of single and combined forms of ADU-S100 and CpG ODN1826 in the CT-26 colon cancer model. Our results showed that ADU-S100 at 40 µg dose suppressed the CT-26

Table 1.

The average tumor volume (mm<sup>3</sup>) in control and all treatment groups on 30<sup>th</sup> day

Group	Average tumor volume (mm <sup>3</sup> ) ± SEM	<i>p</i> - value
Control	1952±194	
ADU-S100 (20 μg)	310±32	< 0.0001
ADU-S100 (40 μg)	44.8±36	< 0.0001
CpG ODN (40 µg)	144±87	< 0.0001
ADU-S100 (20 μg) + CpG ODN (20 μg)	32±28	<0.0001

Mean in each group is significantly different compared to control group (p < 0.0001). SEM: Standard error of means. CpG ODN: CpG oligodeoxynucleotides.

#### Table 2.

The number of lymphocytes (%) of blood samples in control and all treatment groups on  $30^{\text{th}}$  day

Group	Number of lympho- cytes (%) ± SEM	p- value
Control	13.5 ± 3	
ADU-S100 <sup>(20 µg)</sup>	21 ± 5	0.17
ADU-S100 (40 µg)	$42 \pm 7$	< 0.05
CpG ODN <sup>(40 µg)</sup>	$44 \pm 3$	< 0.05
ADU-S100 (20 μg) + CpG ODN <sup>(20 μg)</sup>	50 ± 6	< 0.05

Mean in three treatment groups is significantly different compared to control group (p < 0.05).

SEM: Standard error of means. CpG ODN: CpG oligodeoxynucleotides.

tumor growth more effectively than at 20 µg dosage. Moreover, the combination of ADU-S100 and CpG ODN1826 resulted in the smallest tumor volume (Figures 1 and 2). As a result, the intratumoral injection of this combination in half concentration effectively reduced tumor growth in the CT-26 model (Figure 1A). In addition, the survival rate of treated mice (100%) was more than the control group (71.4%). Corrales et al. showed that the intratumoral injection of ADU-S100 in the CT26 colon carcinoma model caused T cell memory and durable tumor regression. When the tumor cell line was injected into mice again, the animals were completely resistant to re-challenge. This study had similar results in the 4T1 breast cancer model [11]. Other STING agonists also showed effective therapeutic results in the colon carcinoma model.

STING deficiency in mouse models bearing colon 26 adenocarcinomas reduced the antitumor effects of cGAMP as a potent STING agonist. The cGAMP displayed remarkable antitumor activity against CT-26 adenocarcinoma (2569 mm3 to 967 post-treatment). This agonist increased the survival rates of mice from 40% up to 90% in 20 days. Furthermore, cGAMP in-

duced the apoptosis of tumor cells and raised the expression levels of critical cytokines, such as IFN-β and IFN-y, in the mouse serum [20]. The intratumoral injection of cGAMP by inducing CD8+ T cell responses delayed the growth of injected tumors and controlled the growth of distant tumors [21]. Deng et al. explained that STING pathway signaling was effective in type I IFNs induction and promotion of innate and adaptive immune responses upon radiation in MC-38 tumor models. The antitumor effects of radiation were significantly dependent on STING signaling as shown by impairing this antitumor effect in STING-deficient mice. Moreover, cGAMP and radiation synergistically increased the antitumor responses and reduced radiotherapy resistance [25]. The synergistic effects of K3CpG and cGAMP in melanoma, lymphoma, and pancreatic models displayed significant tumor suppression compared to single forms of agonists [26, 27]. Cai et al. investigated the synergism of CpG ODN, CGAMP, and anti-OX40 in TC1 and B16 models. This combination induced tumor regression and cytokines production by activating innate and adaptive immunity [28]. Formulation of recombinant protein HPV with 2'-3'cGAMP CDN and CpG-C ODN induced remarkable tumor suppression in TC-1 harboring mice as a cervical cancer model. This combination caused lymphocyte proliferation and increased the number of IFN-γ secreting cells [29].

In HE staining assays, cell apoptosis was observed in the tumor tissues upon ADU-S100 and CpG ODN treatment concerning the control group which may be displayed that the therapeutic effect of agonists is because of stimulation of tumor cells apoptosis. Circulating Lymphocyte-mediated immune response against tumor cells is critically important in tumor suppression [30]. Hematology experiments displayed that the number of lymphocytes rose in all treatment groups except ADU-S100 (20  $\mu$ g), especially in the combination group and this lymphocytosis probably played a crucial role in tumor suppression.

In conclusion, our study suggests that the combination of ADU-S100 and CpG ODN with a reduced concentration is a potent adjuvant in tumor regression and antitumor immunity and emphasizes the potency of such combination adjuvants as a potential cancer immunotherapy approach.

## Materials & Methods Animals and cells

Six- to eight-week-old female BALB/c mice were purchased from Royan Institute (Tehran, Iran). All in vivo studies were performed according to the animal experimental guidelines approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Ferdowsi University of Mashhad. The animals were kept in standard cages at a temperature of 20°C-25°C and a 12L:12D lighting cycle. Mouse colon cancer cell line, CT26, was bought from the research institute of biotechnology (Mashhad, Iran), cultivated in RPMI 16-40 medium (Gibco, Grand Island, USA), and supplemented with heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (10% v/v) and penicillin-streptomycin (1% v/v) (Gibco, Grand Island, USA). The cells were incubated at 37°C with a 5% CO2 atmosphere.

#### Tumor model

To set up the animal tumor model, CT26 cells (100  $\mu$ l PBS containing 3105 cells for each mouse) were injected SC into the right flank of the animals (day 0). Tumor-bearing mice were randomly assigned to five groups (n=7): (a) Control group received 20  $\mu$ l PBS; (b, c) tumor groups treated with ADU-S100 disodium salt (Med Chem Express, New Jersey, NJ, USA) at various dosages of 20 or 40  $\mu$ g; (d) tumor group treated with 40  $\mu$ g of CpG ODN (InvivoGen, San Diego, USA), and combination group (e) received 20  $\mu$ g ADU-S100 and 20  $\mu$ g CpG ODN simultaneously. The agonists were injected intratumorally on the 10th and 16th days after tumor inoculation.

The tumor volume (V) was estimated every other day with a digital caliper and calculated according to the equation  $V=(L\times W2)/2$ , where W is the small diameter and L represents the large diameter of the tumor [11, 31, 32]. The animals were sacrificed on day 30 and the body weight of each mouse was measured. The spleen, liver, and tumor tissues were isolated for histological analysis.

## Histopathological evaluation

The tumor, spleen, and liver tissues were fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin for 24 h. The tissues were then dehydrated by enhancing the concentrations of ethanol solution (Merck, Munich, Germany), embedded in paraffin wax, and cut by microtome. The 5- $\mu$ m sections were mounted on the slides and stained with HE. The sections were surveyed by light microscope (Labomed, Labo America Inc, USA) for the presence of histopathological lesions in all groups.

## Hematological parameters

At the end of the study, whole blood was collected from mouse hearts in a tube containing an anticoagulant. Hematological parameters, including white blood cell, red blood cell, hematocrit, hemoglobin, mean cellular volume, mean cellular hemoglobin, mean cellular hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, red blood cell distribution width, plateletcrit, mean platelet volume, platelet distribution width, neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, and total protein were assessed by a cell counter (Nihon Kohden, Nima Pouyesh Teb, Iran)

## Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software version 9.0 (La Jolla, San Diego, California). The Mann–Whitney test was used for the statistical analyses. Results are displayed as mean  $\pm$  SD or mean  $\pm$  SEM. The significant differences between groups are shown as \*\*\*\*  $p \le 0.0001$ , \*\*\*  $p \le 0.001$ , \*\*\*  $p \le 0.01$ , and \* $p \le 0.05$ .

## **Authors' Contributions**

S.H. performed the experiments, analyzed data and wrote the main draft of the manuscript., S.A. analyzed the histopathological experiments data., Z.M.F. assisted in in vivo experiments, M.G.S. and H.F assisted in data analysis.,

A.H. conceived the idea, designed experiments, provided reagents, analyzed data, edited and revised the manuscript and supervised the whole study.

Hajiabadi et al., IJVST 2023; Vol.15, No.2 DOI: 10.22067/ijvst.2023.80505.1223

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grant number 46013 from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad to A.H. Financial support was also received from the Iranian Biotechnology Initiative Council. We would like to thank Zahra Hosseininia for her technical assistance.

**Competing Interests** 

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest according to the work presented in this report.

## References

- Ansell SM, Lesokhin AM, Borrello I, Halwani A, Scott EC, Gutierrez M, et al. PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(4):311-9. Doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1411087.
- 2. Fay EK, Graff JN. Immunotherapy in Prostate Cancer. Cancers. 2020;12(7):1752. Doi: 10.3390/cancers12071752.
- Banstola A, Jeong J-H, Yook S. Immunoadjuvants for cancer immunotherapy: A review of recent developments. Acta Biomaterialia. 2020; 114:16-30. Doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2020.07.063
- Krieg AM. Therapeutic potential of Toll-like receptor 9 activation. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2006;5(6):471-84. Doi: 10.1038/ nrd2059.
- Yu C, An M, Li M, Liu H. Immunostimulatory Properties of Lipid Modified CpG Oligonucleotides. Mol Pharm. 2017;14(8):2815-23. Doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.7b00335.
- 6. Krieg AM. Development of TLR9 agonists for cancer therapy. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(5):1184-94. Doi: 10.1172/JCI31414.
- Sierra H, Cordova M, Chen CJ, Rajadhyaksha M. Confocal imaging-guided laser ablation of basal cell carcinomas: an ex vivo study. J Invest Dermatol. 2015;135(2):612-5. Doi: 10.1038/jid.2014.371.
- Krieg AM. Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) agonists in the treatment of cancer. Oncogene. 2008;27(2):161-7. Doi: 10.1038/ sj.onc.1210911.
- Corrales L, Gajewski TF. Molecular Pathways: Targeting the Stimulator of Interferon Genes (STING) in the Immunotherapy of Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2015;21(21):4774-9. Doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1362.
- Levy ES, Chang R, Zamecnik CR, Dhariwala MO, Fong L, Desai TA. Multi-Immune Agonist Nanoparticle Therapy Stimulates Type I Interferons to Activate Antigen-Presenting Cells and Induce Antigen-Specific Antitumor Immunity. Mol Pharm. 2021;18(3):1014-25. Doi: 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.0c00984.
- 11. Corrales L, Glickman LH, McWhirter SM, Kanne DB, Sivick

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

KE, Katibah GE, et al. Direct Activation of STING in the Tumor Microenvironment Leads to Potent and Systemic Tumor Regression and Immunity. Cell Rep. 2015;11(7):1018-30. Doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.04.031.

- 12. Sallets A, Robinson S, Kardosh A, Levy R. Enhancing immunotherapy of STING agonist for lymphoma in preclinical models. Blood Adv. 2018;2(17):2230-41. Doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2018020040.
- Ohkuri T, Ghosh A, Kosaka A, Zhu J, Ikeura M, David M, et al. STING contributes to antiglioma immunity via triggering type I IFN signals in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Immunol Res. 2014;2(12):1199-208. Doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0099.
- Corrales L, Gajewski TF. Endogenous and pharmacologic targeting of the STING pathway in cancer immunotherapy. Cytokine. 2016; 77: 245-7. Doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2015.08.258.
- Bai G, Yu H, Guan X, Zeng F, Liu X, Chen B, et al. CpG immunostimulatory oligodeoxynucleotide 1826 as a novel nasal ODN adjuvant enhanced the protective efficacy of the periodontitis gene vaccine in a periodontitis model in SD rats. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):403. Doi: 10.1186/s12903-021-01763-1.
- Corrales L, McWhirter SM, Dubensky TW, Jr., Gajewski TF. The host STING pathway at the interface of cancer and immunity. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(7):2404-11. Doi: 10.1172/ JCI86892.
- Savitsky D, Tamura T, Yanai H, Taniguchi T. Regulation of immunity and oncogenesis by the IRF transcription factor family. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2010;59(4):489-510. Doi: 10.1007/s00262-009-0804-6.
- Mocellin S, Nitti D. Therapeutics targeting tumor immune escape: towards the development of new generation anticancer vaccines. Med Res Rev. 2008;28(3):413-44. Doi: 10.1002/ med.20110.
- Woo SR, Corrales L, Gajewski TF. Innate immune recognition of cancer. Annu Rev Immunol. 2015; 33: 445-74. Doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032414-112043.
- Li T, Cheng H, Yuan H, Xu Q, Shu C, Zhang Y, et al. Antitumor Activity of cGAMP via Stimulation of cGAS-cGAMP-STING-IRF3 Mediated Innate Immune Response. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 19049. Doi: 10.1038/srep19049.
- Demaria O, De Gassart A, Coso S, Gestermann N, Di Domizio J, Flatz L, et al. STING activation of tumor endothelial cells initiates spontaneous and therapeutic antitumor immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(50):15408-13. Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1512832112.
- 22. Wang Z, Celis E. STING activator c-di-GMP enhances the anti-tumor effects of peptide vaccines in melanoma-bearing mice. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2015;64(8):1057-66. Doi: 10.1007/s00262-015-1713-5.

- Tang CH, Zundell JA, Ranatunga S, Lin C, Nefedova Y, Del Valle JR, et al. Agonist-Mediated Activation of STING Induces Apoptosis in Malignant B Cells. Cancer Res. 2016;76(8):2137-52. Doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1885.
- 24. Huang L, Ge X, Liu Y, Li H, Zhang Z. The Role of Toll-like Receptor Agonists and Their Nanomedicines for Tumor Immunotherapy. Pharmaceutics. 2022;14(6). Doi: 10.3390/ pharmaceutics14061228.
- Deng L, Liang H, Xu M, Yang X, Burnette B, Arina A, et al. STING-Dependent Cytosolic DNA Sensing Promotes Radiation-Induced Type I Interferon-Dependent Antitumor Immunity in Immunogenic Tumors. Immunity. 2014;41(5):843-52. Doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.10.019.
- Temizoz B, Kuroda E, Ohata K, Jounai N, Ozasa K, Kobiyama K, et al. TLR9 and STING agonists synergistically induce innate and adaptive type-II IFN. Eur J Immunol. 2015;45(4):1159-69. Doi: 10.1002/eji.201445132.
- 27. Temizoz B, Hioki K, Kobari S, Jounai N, Kusakabe T, Lee MSJ, et al. Anti-tumor immunity by transcriptional synergy between TLR9 and STING activation. Int Immunol. 2022;34(7):353-64. Doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxac012.
- 28. Cai L, Du X, Zhang C, Yu S, Liu L, Zhao J, et al. Robust

immune response stimulated by in situ injection of CpG/ aOX40/cGAMP in aPD-1-resistant malignancy. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2022;71(7):1597-609. Doi: 10.1007/ s00262-021-03095-z.

- 29. Dorostkar F, Arashkia A, Roohvand F, Shoja Z, Navari M, Mashhadi Abolghasem Shirazi M, et al. Co-administration of 2'3'-cGAMP STING activator and CpG-C adjuvants with a mutated form of HPV 16 E7 protein leads to tumor growth inhibition in the mouse model. Infect Agent Cancer. 2021;16(1):7. Doi: 10.1186/s13027-021-00346-7.
- Kitayama J, Yasuda K, Kawai K, Sunami E, Nagawa H. Circulating lymphocyte is an important determinant of the effectiveness of preoperative radiotherapy in advanced rectal cancer. BMC Cancer. 2011;11: 64. Doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-11-64.
- Seth A, Lee H, Cho MY, Park C, Korm S, Lee JY, et al. Combining vasculature disrupting agent and Toll-like receptor 7/8 agonist for cancer therapy. Oncotarget. 2017;8(3):5371-81. Doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.14260.
- Luo M, Liu Z, Zhang X, Han C, Samandi LZ, Dong C, et al. Synergistic STING activation by PC7A nanovaccine and ionizing radiation improves cancer immunotherapy. J Control Release. 2019; 300: 154-60. Doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2019.02.036.

#### COPYRIGHTS

©2023 The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.

CC	•
	BY

#### How to cite this article

Hajiabad S, Alidadi S, Ghahramani Senoo MM, .Montakhab Farahi Z, Farzin HR, Haghparast A.Therapeutic Effects of ADU-S100 as STING Agonist and CpG ODN1826 as TLR9 Agonist in CT-26 Model of Colon Carcinoma. Iran J Vet Sci Technol. 2023; 15(2): 29-37. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22067/ijvst.2023.80505.1223 URL:https://ijvst.um.ac.ir/article\_43739.html