

Iranian Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology

Received: 2024- Jul- 23 Accepted after revision: 2024- Oct-12 Published online: 2024-Oct-15

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DOI: 10.22067/ijvst.2024.88886.1394

Genotypic and Phenotypic Characteristics of the Phylogenetic Groups of *Escherichia Coli* Isolates From Ostriches in Iran

Mohammad Sadegh Edalatian Dovvom, Abolfazl Ghaniei, Majid Jamshidian c Mojaver, Emadodin Tohidi

- ^a Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.
- ^b Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.
- ^c Mashhad Branch, Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Mashhad, Iran.
- ^d Biotechnology Division, Department of Pathobiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Iran.

ABSTRACT

Increased antibiotic use in the ostrich industry could lead to the emergence of virulent antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains transmissible to human. This study investigated the genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of the phylogenetic groups of Escherichia coli (E. coli) isolates from ostrich and reveal their health risk potential. One hundred twenty-nine confirmed presumptive commensal (44) and suspected pathogenic (85) E. coli isolates from ostrich flocks in Mashhad, Northeast Iran, were phylo-typed by the Clermont quadruplex polymerase chain reaction. The phylogenetic profile of the isolates was comparatively investigated based on antimicrobial susceptibility, resistance, and virulence gene profiles. Results indicated that both groups of presumptive commensal and pathogenic isolates were mostly distributed within phylogroups A (with proportions 31.81% and 32.94%, respectively) and B1 (with proportions 36.36% and 31.76%, respectively). Multi-drug resistance was highest within the phylogroup B2 ($p \ge 0.05$). The phylogroup B1, typically known for commensal strains, unlike B2, showed the most negligible proportions of isolates which were devoid of resistance genes ($p \ge 0.05$) and virulence genes ($p \ge 0.05$). The findings of this study expanded the horizon of the genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of the phylogenetic groups of E. coli isolates from ostrich. Moreover, we indicated a complicated inconsistency between both characteristics. Therefore, more comprehensive and comparative studies on E. coli isolates from ostrich and human are favoured in future research.

Keywords

Antimicrobial susceptibility, Clermont quadruplex PCR, MDR, Resistance genes, Virulence genes

Abbreviations

E. coli: Escherichia coli PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction MDR: Multi-drug resistance

| Number of Figures: | 4 |
|------------------------|----|
| Number of Tables: | 0 |
| Number of References:: | 38 |
| Number of Pages: | 09 |

APEC: Avian pathogenic *E. coli* ExPEC: Extra-intestinal pathogenic *E. coli*

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Introduction

he presence of antimicrobial residues and the demergence of resistant bacterial pathogens in food and the environment have complicated the strategies for appropriate treatment, raising serious public health concerns. In this sense, the improper or extensive use of antimicrobials in food-producing animals, particularly poultry, for improving growth and health probably plays a significant part. This practice imposes a selection pressure, leading to resistant bacterial strains transmissible to human [1-5]. The emergence and dissemination of diversified phylogenetic groups of antibiotic-resistant E. coli strains is a global health concern. Furthermore, E. coli strains provide accurate findings on antimicrobial resistance status because of their presence in the environment and as commensal flora in humans and animals. Therefore, monitoring the phylogenetic distribution of E. coli strains could benefit the design of preventative and therapeutic strategies with economic significance [6-8]. The phylogenetic background, indicating the ecological distribution, evolutionary history, and virulence of pathogens, could be affected by geographical region, sampling area, site of infection, antibiotic resistance, and host response. Environmental, social, and dietary conditions are also considered to cause phylogenic heterogeneity [9]. Most extra-intestinal pathogenic E. coli strains belong to the previously described phylogroups. On the other hand, newly described phylogroups mostly include intestinal pathogenic E. coli strains. As a result, the phylotype-related traits necessitate a reliable detection of E. coli phylogroups and also the investigation of probable impacts of virulence genes, MDR characteristics, and their cross-talk regarding each phylogroup [7, 10]. Finding the relationship between pathogenic traits and the phylogeny of E. coli is a complicated phenomenon due to distinctive interplays [11]. However, some previous studies indicated that commensal E. coli strains are within phylogroups A and B1, while extra-intestinal pathogenic E. coli strains belong to phylogroups D and B2. Moreover, E. coli strains within phylogroup B2, which have the highest susceptibility to antimicrobials, have previously exhibited promoted virulence capacity compared to commensal groups [12].

The APEC strain belongs to the ExPEC category [13]. Phylogenic backgrounds and virulence genes within the ExPEC strains from both human and avian sources are identical [5]. Therefore, monitoring the ExPEC strains in poultry is crucial from the perspective of public health [14]. Comprehensive research has demonstrated that APEC and human ExPEC strains have multiple common traits, encompassing serogroups, virulence factors, and sequence types. In addition, the APEC strains might function as a res-

ervoir for the virulence genes of ExPEC in humans. Therefore, for the effective surveillance and control of avian colibacillosis, it is vital to identify the phylogeny, lineage, and virulence of APEC strains that commonly infect poultry flocks. Early identification of these strains using phylogenetic analyses could beneficially provide the needed preventive measures [15, 16].

The ostrich farming industry is rapidly expanding for the human consumption of meat, leather, and plumes. This industry plays an integral part in terms of agriculture, economy, and meat production in Iran. Scarce information on ostrich-originated *E. coli* strains, transmissible to humans, necessitates more attention to the potential zoonosis health threats caused by these strains [17, 18]. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first that comprehensively compared the phylogenetic profile of *E. coli* isolates from ostrich based on their genotypic and phenotypic traits. This study demonstrated some of the genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of the phylogenetic groups of *E. coli* isolates from ostrich, thereby revealing their potential health threats.

Result

Distribution of Phylogenetic Groups Within Isolates and in Relation to the Source of Isolation

Most isolates were segregated into five phylogenetic groups. However, 11.36% and 4.70% of the presumptive commensal and pathogenic strains were unassignable, respectively. The distribution of both groups of presumptive commensal and pathogenic strains was the highest in the B1 and A phylogroups. Commensal isolates were rarely classified into phylogroups B2 (0%) and C (2.27%). Details on phylogenetic classification results are demonstrated in Figure 1. E. coli strains isolated from each source mostly belonged to the phylogroups A and B1, except that the strains isolated from the lungs were mainly within phylogroups A (42.85%) and E (42.85%). Those isolated from dead-in-shell embryos were mostly within the phylogroups B2 (75%) and E (25%). Moreover, the isolates from the faeces of sick ostriches were abundantly within phylogroups A (53.84%) and Unassignable (23.07%). Isolates from each source, except for the isolates from embryos, yolk sacs, and faeces of sick ostriches, possessed the lowest frequency within the phylogroups B2 and C. The strains within the Unassignable phylogroup were only isolated from faeces (of both healthy and sick birds; $p \ge 0.05$) and yolk sacs $(p \ge 0.05)$. The strains within the phylogroup B2 were significantly isolated from dead-in-shell embryos (*p* < 0.05). Details on the phylogenetic groups in relation to the source of isolation are presented in Figure 1.



Figure1.

Distribution of phylogroups within the presumptive commensal and pathogenic *E. coli* isolates from ostrich and in relation to their source of isolation. Against categorical variables, *P-value* is \geq 0.05 for each phylogroup, excluding one indicated by * (*P-value* < 0.05).

Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of Isolates and Phylogenetic Groups

Comparing the resistance of the pathogenic and commensal groups of isolates against four antimicrobials revealed a higher proportion of resistance for the earlier group against tetracycline ($p \ge 0.05$); however, both groups of isolates showed a comparable proportion of resistance against the other two tested antimicrobials, lincomycin ($p \ge 0.05$) and ceftriaxone ($p \ge 0.05$) 0.05). The distribution of antibiotic resistance within both groups of presumptive commensal and pathogenic isolates was highest against lincomycin and tetracycline, respectively, and lowest against ceftriaxone (Figure 2). Isolates from all phylogenetic groups harboured 100% resistance proportion against lincomycin. The isolates of the phylogroup C were entirely susceptible to streptomycin, trimethoprim + sulfamethoxazole, and doxycycline. Isolates of the phylogroup C also recorded no resistance against enrofloxacin and amoxicillin, similar to unassignable isolates. Isolates of C and B2 phylogroups showed complete susceptibility to florfenicol. While full susceptibility was recorded against ceftriaxone for isolates within most phylogenetic groups, the isolates of C and E phylogroups showed 12.5% and 9.52% resistance to this antimicrobial, respectively ($p \ge 0.05$). Resistance to gentamicin was also low within the isolates of all

phylogroups, and only the isolates within phylogroups A, C, and E indicated a negligible resistance (4.76%, 12.5%, and 4.76%, respectively) ($p \ge 0.05$). Details on antimicrobial resistance frequency in relation to phylogeny are demonstrated in Figure 3a. Results also revealed that the highest total MDR proportion belonged to the phylogroup B2 (33%) ($p \ge 0.05$) compared to the MDR observed within the phylogroups A (10%), B1 (21%), C (0%), E (24%), and Unassignable (0%). More details on MDR frequency in relation to phylogeny are demonstrated in Figure 3b.

Antimicrobial Resistance Gene and Virulence Gene Profiles of Phylogenetic Groups

Within phylogroups B2 and C, sul1 and tet(A) genes were undetectable. In addition, sul1 gene was absent within the Unassignable phylogroup ($p \ge 0.05$). Phylogroups B2 and C included the isolates devoid of blaTEM and qnrA gene, respectively. More than half of the isolates within the phylogroup B2 (66.66%) lacked any resistance genes, which was the most abundant but was not significantly different ($p\ge 0.05$) compared to the corresponding rate of the phylogroup B1 (30.23%). Details on antimicrobial resistance gene frequency in relation to phylogeny are demonstrated in Figure 4a. Within phylogroups C and Unassignable, astA and Irp2 genes were absent. Within the Unassignable phylogroup, iucD gene was



Figure 2.

Distribution of antibiotic resistance against three different antimicrobials within presumptive commensal and pathogenic *E. coli* isolates from ostrich. *P-value* is \geq 0.05 for each antimicrobial between categorical variables.







Figure 3.

(a) Distribution of antibiotic resistance against 10 different antimicrobials within phylogroups of E. coli isolates from ostrich. *P-value* is ≥ 0.05 for each antimicrobial against categorical variables. (b) Distribution of MDR within phylogroups of *E. coli* isolates from ostrich. Against categorical variables, *P-value* is ≥ 0.05 for each MDR, excluding one indicated by * (*P-value* < 0.05).

also undetectable. Within all phylogroups, excluding B1 (p < 0.05), tsh gene was rare. In addition, B1 was the only phylogroup carrying vat gene (2.32%) ($p \ge 0.05$). Only within the phylogroup B2, cvaA/B gene was undetectable ($p \ge 0.05$), whereas this gene was significantly distributed within the phylogroup C (p < 0.05). More than half of the isolates of phylogroup B2

(66.66%) did not have any virulence genes, which was the most noticeable but did not have a significant difference ($p \ge 0.05$) with the corresponding proportion of the phylogroup B1 (37.20%). Details on virulence gene frequency in relation to phylogeny are demonstrated in Figure 4b.



Figure 4.

Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes (a) and virulence genes (b) within phylogroups of E. coli isolates from ostrich. tet(A), tetracycline resistance gene; qnrA, quinolone resistance gene; sul1, sulfonamide resistance gene; aadA1, streptomycin resistance gene; aac(3)-IV, aminoglycoside N(3)-acetyltransferase gene; blaTEM, beta-lactamase resistance gene; None (RGs), None resistance genes. *P-value* is ≥ 0.05 for each resistance gene against categorical variables. astA, enteroaggregative toxin gene; iss, increased serum survival protein gene; irp2, iron repressible protein gene; papC, P-fimbriae gene; iucD, aerobactin gene; tsh, temperature-sensitive hemagglutinin gene; vat, vacuolating autotransporter toxin gene; cvaA/B, colicin V plasmid operon gene; None (VGs), None virulence genes. Against categorical variables, P-value is ≥ 0.05 for each virulence gene, excluding two indicated by * (*P-value* < 0.05).

Discussion

According to the phylotyping results of this study, most isolates were classified into five phylogenetic groups; however, multiple strains were not assignable according to the quadruplex PCR-based method of Clermont et al. [19] (Figure 1). These unassignable strains have also been observed in some previous studies and are probably from extremely rare phylogroups. The loss of specific genes resulting from the plasticity of the genome of *E. coli* or the recombination of isolates from variant phylogroups might also lead to the observation of these unknown strains [19, 23, 24]. Phylogenetic groups B1 and A accounted for most of the phylogenetic profiles of both groups of presumptive commensal and pathogenic isolates with a close distribution proportion (Figure 1). This finding is in line with multiple previous studies on *E. coli* strains originating either from ostrich [25] or other avian species [26-32]. However, some other studies reported different dominant phylogenetic groups within avian-originated *E. coli* strains [7, 13-15, 33-36]. These inconsistencies could be due to the factors causing phylogenic heterogeneity, including geographical region, sampling area, site of infection, antibiotic resistance, host response, as well as environmental, social, and dietary conditions [9]. However, regarding the results of this study, insufficient evidence was obtained to completely correlate phylogenic heterogeneity to the isolation source of the strains, which justifies the necessity for further studies.

In the present study, the *E. coli* isolates of the phylogroup B2 were all from the suspected pathogenic group (Figure 1) and showed the highest MDR (statistically insignificant; $p \ge 0.05$) (Figure 3b). However, these isolates, unlike typically known commensal strains from the phylogroup B1, harboured the lowest virulence and resistance gene capacity (statistically insignificant; $p \ge 0.05$) (Figure 4). Saha et al. [7] have also reported that all APEC phylogroups, including B2, obtained from poultry farms in Bangladesh, showed MDR. Moreover, enhanced virulence capacity, which probably occurs by acquiring virulence factors through horizontal gene transfer, has also been found previously within the non-B2 phylogroups of E. coli strains [13, 31, 34, 35]. Among all resistance and virulence genes examined in this study, only two virulence genes were significantly prevalent (p < 0.05) within specific phylogroups, tsh within the phylogroup B1 and cvaA/B within the phylogroup C. This observation provides another evidence for the enhanced virulence capacity obtained through horizontal gene transfer within the non-B2 phylogroups of E. coli strains. That is because both genes are located on the Colicin V (ColV) plasmid, which is detectable in most APEC strains and transmissible to the non-APEC strains [35]. Furthermore, a part of the inconsistency observed between phenotypic and genotypic traits within the phylogroups B2 and B1 might result from the expression status of virulence and resistance genes, even those not investigated in the present research. In this sense, Amani et al. [1], examining the virulence and resistance gene panels of the isolates, encountered some strains with a specific antimicrobial resistance phenotype lacking the corresponding resistance gene. The authors also found the investigation of the virulence genes insufficient to discriminate pathogenic from commensal strains [1]. Overall, due to discrepancy between the genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of phylogenetic groups of the examined

E. coli isolates, further comparative studies on the resistance and virulence properties of the phy-

Edalatian Dovvom MS. et al., IJVST 2024; Vol.16, No.4 DOI: 10.22067/ijvst.2024.88886.1394 logenetic groups of *E. coli* isolates from ostrich and human, especially from larger sample sizes and different geographical locations, are suggested.

In conclusion, the findings of this study provided understanding of the resistance and virulence traits of the phylogenetic groups of *E. coli* isolates from ostrich and indicated that further genotypic and phenotypic analyses on these phylogroups are essential.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

From September 2018 to August 2019, a total of 44 presumptive commensal and 85 suspected pathogenic *E. coli* strains were randomly obtained from apparently healthy and sick ostriches, respectively, from six distinct ostrich flocks in Mashhad, Northeast Iran. The presumptive commensal strains were sampled from the fresh faeces of apparently healthy ostriches, and suspected pathogenic strains were sampled from the fresh faeces of sick diarrheic ostriches (n = 13), dead-in-shell embryos (n = 4) from ostriches suspected to colibacillosis, and dead ostrich chicks (n = 68). These chicks were suspected to be infected with *E. coli* through post-mortem examination and samples from their infected organs, including yolk sac (n = 16), lung (n = 7), liver (n = 23), and heart (n = 22), were aseptically taken.

Isolation and Detection of E. coli Strains

All obtained samples were aseptically streaked on MacConkey agar and aerobically incubated at 37°C for 24 h in the laboratory at the Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran. The pure bacterial colonies with morphological and Gram staining characteristics similar to *E. coli* underwent biochemical tests (Indol, MR-VP, Simon Citrate, and TSI) and were confirmed as *E. coli* strains. Pure colonies of each sample were stored at -20°C in microtubes containing 2 ml of BHI medium and 15% sterile glycerol until use [37].

DNA Extraction

Following thawing, *E. coli* isolates were cultured on MacConkey agar and were then incubated at 37° C for 24 h. Subsequently, a pure colony of each cultured isolate was suspended in a microtube containing 150 µl sterile distilled water. Extraction of the whole bacterial genome was performed through the rapid boiling method on the mixture [38]. Following the centrifugation of the suspension at 14,000 rpm for 15 min, the supernatant containing the extracted genome as the DNA template was transferred to a new microtube and stored at -20°C for later PCR.

Phylogenetic Group Assignment

The quadruplex PCR method of Clermont et al. [19] was followed entirely for phylotyping. Based on this method, avian *E. coli* strains are classified into one of the eight phylogroups (A, B1, B2, C, D, E, F, or Escherichia cryptic clade I). All PCR amplifications were conducted on a final volume of 20 μ l of the mixture, including distilled water, master mix (Ampliqon*, Denmark), DNA tem-

RESEARCH ARTICLE

plate, and appropriate primers [19] based on the following procedure: pre-denaturation at 94°C for 4 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 5 sec, annealing at 59°C (quadruplex and phylogroup C) and 57°C (phylogroup E) for 20 sec, and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The control strain was not included in this practice as arpA gene functions as an internal control, meaning that each *E. coli* strain is anticipated to produce at least one PCR product. Amplified products were analyzed through electrophoresis on 1% (w/v) agarose gel. Following staining with ethidium bromide, DNA bands were photographed under UV light, and those with the size of the target gene were considered to possess that gene. Finally, each isolate was classified into a specific phylogenetic group regarding the obtained amplicons.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Those raw unpublished data on antimicrobial resistance of the isolates against antimicrobials commonly used in ostrich farms, including enrofloxacin, florfenicol, doxycycline, trimethoprim + sulfamethoxazole (sultrim®), gentamicin, streptomycin, and amoxicillin, were kindly provided by Amani et al. [1], who previously tested the isolates for the mentioned antimicrobials. In the current study, the isolates were further tested for three different antimicrobials, including lincomycin (2 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), and ceftriaxone (30 µg). The first two antimicrobials are also used in ostrich farms. Ceftriaxone represents a different class of antimicrobials, namely third-generation cephalosporins which are known as critically important antimicrobial in human medicine [6]. Resistance to CIA is a noticeable concern correlated to the poultry industry [15]. The procedure previously used by Amani et al. [1] was followed entirely for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Briefly, E. coli isolates, adjusted to 1.5×108 CFU/ml with 0.5 McFarland standard turbidity, were cultured on plates containing Mueller-Hinton medium. These plates were then aerobically incubated at 37°C for 18 h. All the relevant antimicrobial disks were provided from PadtanTeb*, Iran. The antimicrobial sensitivity testing was based on the modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method and the guidelines of the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute [20]. Following measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition (mm) caused by E. coli isolates against each antibiotic, resistance was interpreted as susceptible or non-susceptible. E. coli ATCC 25922 was the quality control strain. Subsequently, resistance against the antimicrobials and the total MDR, which is defined as resistance to at least three antimicrobial classes [3], excluding lincosamides herein, was obtained within the phylogenetic groups.

Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes and Virulence Genes

The antimicrobial resistance genes, including tetracycline resistance gene (tet(A)), quinolone resistance gene (qnrA), sulfonamide resistance gene (sul1), streptomycin resistance gene (aadA1), aminoglycoside N(3)-acetyltransferase gene (aac(3)-IV), and beta-lactamase resistance gene (blaTEM) were detected within the isolates using PCR. These genes are associated with resistance to antimicrobials investigated in the present study and have previously been used in this respect [15, 21]. Furthermore, multiplex PCR was employed to detect the virulence genes, comprising enteroaggregative toxin gene (astA), increased serum survival protein gene (iss), iron repressible protein gene (irp2), P-fimbriae gene (papC), aerobactin gene (iucD), temperature-sensitive hemagglutinin gene (tsh), vacuolating autotransporter toxin gene (vat), and colicin V plasmid operon gene (cvaA/B) within the isolates. Employing these genes has proven to provide the capability of differentiation of APEC from non-APEC isolates [22]. Amani et al. [1], who previously performed both PCR detections for each isolate, kindly provided the raw data to be used for the evaluation

of genes distribution within phylogenetic groups.

Statistical Analysis

The Fisher's Exact test was used to compare the proportion of each categorical variable with the cumulative proportion of other categorical variables (a specific phylogroup with different phylogroups pooled together; presumptive commensal with presumptive pathogenic *E. coli* isolates) using GraphPad 2 × 2 contingency table analysis (https://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/ contingency1). The two-tailed p-value was reported whenever the test was performed. The difference between the proportions was considered significant at a two-tailed *p-value* < 0.05.

Authors' Contributions

A.G and M. JM conceived and planned the experiments. MS. ED carried out the experiments. MS.ED contributed to sample preparation. A. G and M. JM contributed to the interpretation of the results. E. T the lead in writing the manuscript. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Fatemeh Amani for providing the raw data of resistance and virulence genes and Seyed Ali Kargar for his technical support. This study was financially supported by research deputy of ferdowsi university of Mashhad (grant no. 48852).

Competing Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Reference

- 1. Amani F, Hashemitabar G, Ghaniei A, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes in the Escherichia coli isolates obtained from ostrich. Tropical Animal Health and Production. 2020; 52: 3501-8. Doi:10.1007/s11250-020-02384-6.
- Bagheri M, Ghanbarpour R, Alizade H. Shiga toxin and beta-lactamases genes in Escherichia coli phylotypes isolated from carcasses of broiler chickens slaughtered in Iran. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2014; 177: 16-20. Doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.02.003.
- 3. Ibrahim RA, Cryer TL, Lafi SQ, et al. Identification of Escherichia coli from broiler chickens in Jordan, their antimicrobial resistance, gene characterisation and the associated risk factors. BMC Veterinary Research. 2019; 15:159-174. Doi:10.1186/s12917-019-1901-1.
- 4. Pesciaroli M, Magistrali CF, Filippini G, et al. Antibiotic-re-

sistant commensal Escherichia coli are less frequently isolated from poultry raised using non-conventional management systems than from conventional broiler. International Journal Food Microbiology. 2020; 314: 108391-402. Doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108391

- Subedi M, Luitel H, Devkota B, et al. Antibiotic resistance pattern and virulence genes content in avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) from broiler chickens in Chitwan, Nepal. BMC Veterinary Research. 2018; 14: 113-122. Doi:10.1186/ s12917-018-1442-z.
- Mahmoud AT, Salim MT, Ibrahem RA, et al. Multiple drug resistance patterns in various phylogenetic groups of hospital-acquired uropathogenic E. coli isolated from cancer patients. Antibiotics. 2020; 9: 108-121. Doi:10.3390/antibiotics9030108.
- Saha O, Hoque MN, Islam OK, et al. Multidrug-resistant avian pathogenic Escherichia coli strains and association of their virulence genes in Bangladesh. Microorganisms. 2020; 8: 1135-1157. Doi:10.3390/microorganisms8081135.
- Sgariglia E, Mandolini NA, Napoleoni M, et al. Antibiotic resistance pattern and virulence genes in avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) from different breeding systems. Veterinaria Italiana. 2019; 55: 27-33. Doi:10.12834/Vetlt.1617.8701.1.
- Javed S, Mirani ZA, Pirzada ZA. Phylogenetic group B2 expressed significant biofilm formation among drug resistant uropathogenic Escherichia coli. Libyan Journal of Medicine. 2021. 16: 1-7. Doi:10.1080/19932820.2020.1845444.
- 10. Clermont O, Dixit OVA, Vangchhia B, et al. Characterisation and rapid identification of phylogroup G in Escherichia coli, a lineage with high virulence and antibiotic resistance potential. Environmental Microbiology. 2019; 21: 3107-3117. Doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14713.
- Abdi HA, Rashki A. Comparison of virulence factors distribution in uropathogenic E. coli isolates from phylogenetic groups B2 and D. International Journal Enteric Pathogens. 2014; 2: 1-5. Doi:10.17795/ijep21725.
- 12. Adefioye OJ, Weinreich J, Rödiger S, et al. Phylogenetic characterisation and multilocus sequence typing of extended-spectrum beta lactamase-producing Escherichia coli from food-producing animals, beef, and humans in Southwest Nigeria. Microb. Drug Resistance. 2021; 27: 111-20. Doi:10.1089/mdr.2019.0397.
- Mohsenifard E, Asasi K, Sharifiyazdi H, et al. Phylotyping and ColV plasmid-associated virulence genotyping of E. coli isolated from broiler chickens with colibacillosis in Iran. Comparative Clinical Pathology. 2016; 25: 1035-42. Doi:10.1007/ s00580-016-2303-4.
- 14. Bhave S, Kolhe R, Mahadevaswamy R, et al. Phylogrouping and antimicrobial resistance analysis of extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from poultry species. Turkish

Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences. 2019; 43:117-26. Doi:10.3906/vet-1808-47.

- Jeong J, Lee JY, Kang MS, et al. Comparative characteristics and zoonotic potential of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) isolates from chicken and duck in South Korea. Microorganisms 2021; 9: 946-962. Doi:10.3390/microorganisms9050946.
- Murase T, Ozaki H. Relationship between phylogenetic groups of Escherichia coli and pathogenicity among isolates from chickens with colibacillosis and healthy chickens. Poultry Sciences. 2022; 101 (9): 102007-14. Doi:10.1016/j. psj.2022.102007.
- 17. Nardi AR, Salvadori MR, Coswig LT, et al. Type 2 heat-labile enterotoxin (LT-II)-producing Escherichia coli isolated from ostriches with diarrhea. Veterinary Microbiology. 2005; 105: 245-9. Doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2004.11.005.
- Salari S, Hoseini A. The antibiogram profile of commensal Escherichia coli of the gastrointestinal tract of apparently healthy ostriches and diseased chickens with colibacillosis. Poultry Science Journal. 2021; 9: 121-129. Doi:10.22069/ psj.2021.18892.1672
- Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E, et al. The Clermont Escherichia coli phylo-typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo-groups. Environmental Microbiology Rep. 2013; 5: 58-65. Doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12019.
- 20. CLSI, Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 28 ed. CLSI supplement M100, Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
- 21. Van TTH, Chin J, Chapman T, et al. Safety of raw meat and shellfish in Vietnam: an analysis of Escherichia coli isolations for antibiotic resistance and virulence genes. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2008; 124: 217-223. Doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.03.029.
- 22. Ewers C, Janßen T, Kießling S, et al. Rapid detection of virulence-associated genes in avian pathogenic Escherichia coli by multiplex polymerase chain reaction. Avian Diseases. 2005; 49: 269-273. Doi:10.1637/7293-102604r.
- 23. Ahumada-Santos YP, Báez-Flores ME, Díaz-Camacho SP, et al. Association of phylogenetic distribution and presence of integrons with multi-drug resistance in Escherichia coli clinical isolates from children with diarrhoea. Journal of Infection and Public Health. 2020; 13:767-72. Doi:10.1016/j. jiph.2019.11.019.
- 24. Iranpour D, Hassanpour M, Ansari H, et al. Phylogenetic groups of Escherichia coli strains from patients with urinary tract infection in Iran based on the new Clermont phylotyping method. BioMed Research International. 2015; 2015: 846219-27. Doi:10.1155/2015/846219.
- 25. Mohamadi E, Alizade H, Askari N, et al. Antibiotic resistance profile in relation to phylogenetic background in Esch-

erichia coli isolated from fecal samples of healthy ostrich. International Journal of Enteric Pathogens. 2015; 3: 6-9. Doi:/10.17795/ijep25366.

- 26. Alizade H, Ghanbarpour R, Jajarami M, et al. Phylogenetic typing and molecular detection of virulence factors of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from colibacillosis cases in Japanese quail. Veterinary Research Forum. 2017; 8: 55-8. PMCID: PMC5413312
- 27. Ghanbarpour R, Daneshdoost S. Identification of shiga toxin and intimin coding genes in Escherichia coli isolates from pigeons (Columba livia) in relation to phylotypes and antibiotic resistance patterns. Tropical Animal Health and Production. 2012; 44: 307-12. Doi:10.1007/s11250-011-0021-0.
- Kocúreková T, Karahutová L, Bujňáková D. Antimicrobial susceptibility and detection of virulence-associated genes in Escherichia coli strains isolated from commercial broilers. Antibiotics. 2021; 10: 1303-16. Doi:10.3390/antibiotics10111303.
- 29. Marazzato M, Aleandri M, Massaro MR, et al. Escherichia coli strains of chicken and human origin: Characterisation of antibiotic and heavy-metal resistance profiles, phylogenetic grouping, and presence of virulence genetic markers. Research in Veterinary Sciences. 2020; 132: 150-5. Doi:10.1016/j. rvsc.2020.06.012.
- 30. Meena RH, Mir IA, Maherchandani S, et al. Antibiotic resistance pattern and phylogenetic analysis of commensal Escherichia coli isolated from poultry. Journal of Pure Applied Microbiology. 2015; 9: 657-62.
- Messaili C, Messaili Y, Bakour R. Virulence gene profiles, antimicrobial resistance and phylogenetic groups of fecal Escherichia coli strains isolated from broiler chickens in Algeria. Veterinaria Italiana 2019; 55: 35-46. Doi:10.12834/Vetlt.799.3865.2.

- 32. Obeng AS, Rickard H, Ndi O, et al. Antibiotic resistance, phylogenetic grouping and virulence potential of Escherichia coli isolated from the faeces of intensively farmed and free range poultry. Veterinary Microbiology. 2012; 154: 305-15. Doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.07.010
- 33. Amiri M, Ahmadi E. A comparative phylotyping analysis of E. coli originating from avian colibacillosis based on the Clermont triplex scheme and gyrA gene sequencing. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences. 2019; 43: 212-7. Doi:10.3906/vet-1811-14
- 34. Asadi A, Zahraei Salehi T, Jamshidian M, et al. ECOR phylotyping and determination of virulence genes in Escherichia coli isolates from pathological conditions of broiler chickens in poultry slaughter-houses of southeast of Iran. Veterinary Research Forum. 2018; 9: 211–216. Doi:10.30466/ vrf.2018.30827.
- 35. Goudarztalejerdi A, Mohammadzadeh A, Najafi SV, et al. Serogrouping, phylotyping, and virulence genotyping of commensal and avian pathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from broilers in Hamedan, Iran. Comparative Immunology Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. 2020; 73: 101558-67. Doi:10.1016/j.cimid.2020.101558.
- 36. Kazemnia A, Ahmadi M, Dilmaghani M. Antibiotic resistance pattern of different Escherichia coli phylogenetic groups isolated from human urinary tract infection and avian colibacillosis. Iranian Biomedical Journal. 2014; 18: 219-224. Doi:10.6091%2Fibj.1394.2014.
- 37. Reddy CA, Beveridge TJ, Breznak JA, et al. American Society of Microbiology Press. Methods for general and molecular microbiology; 2007.
- Fazel F, Jamshidi A, Khoramian B. Phenotypic and genotypic study on antimicrobial resistance patterns of E. coli isolates from bovine mastitis. Microbiology Pathogens. 2019; 132: 355-61. Doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2019.05.018.

COPYRIGHTS

©2024 The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.



How to cite this article

Edalatian Dovvom MS, Ghaniei A, Jamshidian Mojaver M, Tohidi E Genotypic and Phenotypic Characteristics of the Phylogenetic Groups of Escherichia Coli Isolates From Ostriches in Iran. Iran J Vet Sci Technol. 2024; 16(4): 29-37. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22067/ijvst.2024.88886.1394 URL:https://ijvst.um.ac.ir/article_45785.html