

Iranian Journal of Veterinary Science and <u>Technology</u>

Received: 2024- Mar-03 Accepted after revision: 2024- Aug-31 Published online: 2024- Sep-01

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DOI: 10.22067/ijvst.2024.87116.1359

Cross Immunity of a Sonicated Trivalent Avian Colibacillosis Vaccine to Pathogenic Escherichia coli O26 and O78 Strains in Broiler Chickens

Sima Alempour Rajabia, Zolfaghar Rajabia

Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tabriz, Iran.

ABSTRACT

Colibacillosis outbreaks are a global issue affecting the poultry industry. There is no cross-immunity among the strains of APEC. If a vaccine induces cross-immunity, it will play a key role in preventing colibacillosis. Herein, a sonicated trivalent colibacillosis vaccine containing O78:K80, O2:K1, and O1:K1 serotypes, with Alum as an adjuvant, was used to assess cross-immunity against E. coli O26. Ninety-six broiler chickens were randomly assigned to four groups: Group A was vaccinated and exposed to O78; Group B was unvaccinated but exposed to O78; Group C was vaccinated and exposed to O26; Group D was unvaccinated but exposed to O26. At 14 days old, chickens in groups A and C received a single dose of the vaccine, while groups B and D received normal saline subcutaneously. At 35 days old, all groups were challenged with O78 and O26 as described above. Clinical signs and lesions, isolation of the bacterium, weight gain, food intake, FCR, and antibody titers against the O antigens of the vaccine strains and O26 were recorded. The results indicated that 2 weeks post-vaccination, titers to the O antigens of the vaccine strains were significantly higher in the vaccinated groups than in the unvaccinated groups ($p \le 0.05$). Following the challenge, no significant difference was observed in food intake and FCR between the groups (p > 0.05); however, the growth rate in group A was significantly higher than in group B ($p \le 0.05$). At 42-49 days old, the vaccinated groups had the highest growth rate, which was statistically significant compared to the unvaccinated groups; and FCR in group A was significantly better than in group B ($p \le 0.05$). In conclusion, it appears that in addition to homologous immunity, the vaccine also induces cross-immunity against O26.

Keywords

Colicepticemia, Heterologous, Homologous, Prevention, Poultry

Abbreviations

APEC: Avian Pathogenic Escherichia coli FCR: Feed Conversion Ratio

Number of Figures:	0
Number of Tables:	6
Number of References::	24
Number of Pages:	6

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Introduction

olibacillosis, especially colisepticemia as the ✓ acute form, is one of the most common bacterial diseases in the poultry industry with economic losses worldwide [1-3]. Colibacillosis which is caused by APEC, often occurs simultaneously with other diseases and due to predisposing factors, such as avian vaccination, particularly infectious bronchitis vaccines, and stress [2, 4]. Several methods have been applied to prevent and control colibacillosis, such as better management of the litter, improving drinking water, and better ventilation in farms, and also using antibiotics, bacteriophages, and nutrient synergy [1, 2, 5]. Despite these efforts, incidences and economic losses due to colibacillosis continue in poultry houses all over the world. Several studies indicated that colibacillosis can be prevented in poultry by vaccination and different vaccines, including inactivated, live, recombinant, mutant, and molecular vaccines, which have been prepared and tested experimentally [1, 6, 7]. The great diversity among APEC serogroups and the different mechanisms and stages of infection by the serogroups [1] are the main reasons vaccines have not been able to induce cross-immunity. Melamed et al. reported that by using the ultrasonic inactivation method for preparing an inactivated vaccine against APEC infection a certain degree of heterologous protection is possible because of the expression of some of the important internal immunogenic determinants |7|.

Although APEC has diverse serogroups, the ones isolated from diseased birds in most countries are O78, O2, and O1 [1, 2, 7]. Moreover, we know that antigen particulates can increase the activation of antigen-presenting cells [8]. Alum [9], if used as an adjuvant, may enhance the efficacy of a vaccine because of its particulate form. It may also enable the use of a potential inactivated colibacillosis vaccine in broiler chickens due to its safety [8]. *E. coli* O26 strain is one of the APECs that has been isolated from chickens in Iran and other countries [10-12].

In the present study, we used a sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine, including the O2:K1, O78:K80, and O1:K1 serotypes of APEC to evaluate the cross-immunity of this vaccine against O26 strain in broiler chickens.

Result

Clinical signs, gross lesions, mortality rate, and isolation of E. coli

Subsequent to the challenge, both the vaccinated and unvaccinated cohorts displayed a period of lethargy and listlessness, spanning approximately one day.

Alempour Rajabi, IJVST 2024; Vol.16, No.3 DOI:10.22067/ijvst.2024.87116.1359

Notably, avian subjects within the unvaccinated clusters, especially group B which was challenged with the O78 strain, exhibited more pronounced clinical signs. Dullness, lethargy, lack of movement, decreased appetite, hanging of stool on the anus, diarrheal stool, green stool in the bedding, and decreased reaction to movements were observed.

A chicken, that died in the unvaccinated group 8 days after challenge with the O78 strain and also three other euthanized chickens from different groups had gross lesions, including septicemia, pericarditis, perihepatitis, peritonitis, breast blister, emaciation, and airsacculitis (Table 1). *E. coli* bacteria were isolated from the liver and heart blood of two dead chickens that belonged to the unvaccinated group challenged with the O78 strain.

Growth rate

The analysis indicated no significant difference in weight gain between the examined groups during the periods of 14, 14-21, 21-28, and 28-35 days. However, a significant difference was observed among the groups in the age period of 35-42 days. The vaccinated group challenged with O78 exhibited the highest weight gain, while the unvaccinated group challenged with O78 demonstrated the lowest weight gain ($p \le$ 0.05). Furthermore, in the age period of 42-49 days, there was a significant difference between vaccinated and unvaccinated groups challenged with O26 and also between vaccinated and unvaccinated groups challenged with O78 ($p \le 0.05$) (Table 2).

Feed consumption

Before the challenge, across some age periods, various groups exhibited equivalent consumption patterns, and from a statistical standpoint, no note-worthy distinctions were identified between the analyzed cohorts. After the challenge, at 35-42 days old, food consumption in group B decreased, but there was no significant difference with other groups (p > 0.05) (Table 3).

Feed conversion ratio

During the age intervals of 14-21, 21-28, 28-35, and 35-42 days, no statistically significant differences were observed between the examined cohorts. However, a notable disparity in FCR emerged during the 42-49-day period. The unvaccinated group challenged with O78 exhibited the highest FCR, whereas the vaccinated group exposed to O26 demonstrated the most favorable FCR outcome (Table 4).

Microagglutination test to O antigen

Before vaccination, antibodies to the O antigens

Evaluation the cross immunity of a sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine to APEC O26 and O78 broilers

Table. 1

Post-mortem lesions and bacteria titers in the vaccinated broiler chicks challenged with O26 and O78

No	group	Autopsy symptoms	Isolation of <i>E.coli</i>
1	Carcass belonged to the vaccinated group challenged with O78	Perihepatitis	-
2	Carcass belonged to the unvaccinated group challenged with O78	Breast blister, emaciation, pericarditis, perihepatitis	+
3	Carcass belonged to the vaccinated group challenged with O26	Breast blister, pericarditis	-
4	Deceased carcass belonged to the unvaccinated group challenged with O78	Septicemia, pericarditis, airsacculitis	+

- Isolation of E. coli was negative

+ Isolation of E. coli was positive

Table. 2

Mean growth rate (g) of the chickens of different ages vaccinated and unvaccinated and challenged with O26 and O78

anormal.			Age ((day-old)		
groups	14	14-21	21-28	28-35	35-42	42-49
А	288.25 ± 4.77	328.41 ± 9.82	436.71 ± 13.30	498.16 ± 21.45	$431.12 \pm 41.00b$	515.70 ± 41.44bc
В	307.21 ± 7.52	344.25 ± 10.62	440.00 ± 14.20	505.66 ± 24.00	284.25 ± 23.06a	398.25 ± 46.65a
С	286.37 ± 8.76	309.10 ± 9.48	426.16 ± 14.00	531.54 ± 13.08	389.00 ± 20.49ab	586.60 ± 33.30c
D	289.54 ± 6.12	328.54 ± 9.07	448.21 ± 11.00	507.08 ± 10.60	382.37± 15.32a	464.41 ± 34.31ab
P-value	0.13	0.09	0.70	0.60	0.002	0.008

1 The chickens of groups A and C received vaccine, while the chickens of groups B and D received sterile normal saline. Groups A and B were challenged with the O78:K80 strain, and groups C and D with the O26 strain.

*The data shown with different letters are significantly different.

Table 3.

Mean Feed consumption \pm standard error of the chickens of different ages vaccinated and unvaccinated and challenged with O26 and O78

ground			Age (day-old)	
groups	14-21	21-28	28-35	35-42	42-49
А	502.53 ± 2.00	758.33 ± 4.16	937.50 ± 0.00	887.50 ± 0.00	1225.00 ± 0.00
В	502.60 ± 1.80	760.43 ± 2.06	937.50 ± 0.00	800.00 ± 25.00	1314.26 ± 57.13
С	500.03 ± 9.53	762.53 ± 3.60	937.50 ± 0.00	862.50 ± 19.09	1257.13 ± 57.13
D	509.60 ± 1.80	760.43 ± 2.06	937.50 ± 0.00	865.83 ± 28.33	1257.13 ± 57.13
P-value	0.46	0.82	1	0.08	0.65

¹A and C are the vaccinated groups challenged 16 and 26 days post-vaccination, respectively. B and D are the unvaccinated groups challenged 16 and 26 days post-vaccination, respectively.

*The data shown with the same letter are not significantly different.

of the vaccine strains were negative. Statistical analysis revealed significant differences between the examined groups on days 28 and 42 ($p \le 0.05$). On 28 days, the vaccinated group challenged with O78 exhibited the highest titer, while the unvaccinated group challenged with O78 demonstrated

the lowest titer. Similarly, at the age of 42 days, the vaccinated group treated with O26 displayed the highest microagglutination rate, whereas the unvaccinated group treated with O26 exhibited the lowest microagglutination rate (Table 5).

Table. 4

Mean FCR \pm standard error of the broiler chickens of different ages vaccinated and unvaccinated and challenged with O78 and O26

			Age (day-old)		
groups	14-21	21-28	28-35	35-42	42-49
А	1.54 ± 0.08	1.70 ± 0.02	1.86 ± 0.11	2.06 ± 0.09	2.64 ± 0.11ab
В	1.48 ± 0.02	1.73 ± 0.03	1.90 ± 0.06	2.87 ± 0.32	3.33 ± 0.31c
С	1.61 ± 0.02	1.80 ± 0.07	1.76 ± 0.03	2.25 ± 0.18	2.15 ± 0.13a
D	1.56 ± 0.08	1.70 ± 0.01	1.86 ± 0.11	2.27 ± 0.02	2.73 ± 0.20ab
P-value	0.50	0.38	0.11	0.15	0.02

¹The chickens of groups A and C received vaccine, while the chickens of groups B and D received sterile normal saline. Groups A and B were challenged with the O78:K80 strain, and groups C and D with the O26 strain.

*The data shown with the same letter are not significantly different.

Table. 5

Geometric mean antibody titers to the O antigen of the O2, O78, O1, and O26 strains of APEC in the vaccinated broiler chickens

ground		Age (day-old)			
groups ¹	21	28	42		
А	0.85 ± 0.21	$4.25\pm0.27b$	$8.2 \pm 0.36b$		
В	0.65 ± 0.15	2.41 ± 0.25a	7.78 ± 0.82b		
С	0.45 ± 0.15	$3.5 \pm 029 b$	9.59 ± 0.33c		
D	0.54 ± 0.15	2.5 ± 0.25a	5.5 ± 0.89a		
P-value	0.43	0.000	0.000		

¹The chickens of groups A and C received vaccine, and the chickens of groups B and D received sterile normal saline. Groups A and B were challenged with the O78:K80 strain, and groups C and D with the O26 strain.

*The data shown with the same letter are not significantly different. *The data shown with the same letter are not significantly different.

Discussion

There is a need for an ideal APEC vaccine that can provide cross-protection against multiple APEC serotypes [13]. The results of the present study indicated that the alum adjuvanted-sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine can induce homologous and heterologous immunity 21 days post-vaccination in a single dose. After the challenge, the incidence of the clinical signs and gross lesions decreased in the vaccinated challenged groups.

Common gross lesions of colibacillosis in broiler chickens are pericarditis, perihepatitis, and airsacculitis [1]. According to a study in Egypt (2021), chickens infected with E. coli strains O78 and O26 exhibited pronounced clinical manifestations compared to those infected with other strains. Consequently, these two strains are noteworthy for their potential to induce colibacillosis in poultry [14]. In this study, following challenges, in contrast to the challenged vaccinated group, the dead chickens of the unvaccinated group challenged with O78 typically developed pericarditis, perihepatitis, and airsacculitis, with E. coli bacteria isolated from the carcasses. Colibacillosis is characterized by variable polyserositis, but none of them are pathognomonic signs, and isolation of APEC is needed for diagnosis [2, 3, 15]. The challenge of chickens with O26 in both unvaccinated and vaccinated groups, despite the incidence of clinical signs, did not lead to mortality, which may be due to the low pathogenicity of the O26 strain. APEC has numerous strains with widespread pathogenic bacteria in poul-

Evaluation the cross immunity of a sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine to APEC O26 and O78 broilers

try [16].

The weight loss of affected chickens is one of the reasons for the economic importance of colibacillosis. Colibacillosis causes the affected chickens to lose about 84 g/bird of average body weight [3]. The present study showed that the weekly mean body weight gain of challenged unvaccinated chickens in both groups which were challenged with O78 and O26 significantly decreased in comparison with the challenged vaccinated groups ($p \le 0.05$). Colibacillosis reduces feed intake in affected chickens [17]. In this study food consumption insignificantly dropped immediately after a challenge on 35-42 days old. However, the chickens in all groups were compensated throughout the study.

Avian colibacillosis usually increases FCR [18]. The results of FCR at 42-49 days old indicated that the increase in food consumption in the vaccinated groups, especially in the vaccinated group challenged with O78, significantly raised the body weight of the chickens. The significant difference in FCR between the vaccinated group challenged with O78 and the unvaccinated group challenged with O78 means that although food intake increased one week after the challenge, it failed to improve the body weight of chickens in the unvaccinated group challenged groups, especially in the unvaccinated group challenged with O78. The results related to FCR are in line with the findings of Amen et al. (2023) [19].

Regarding the two groups challenged with the O26 strain, although the FCR difference at the age of 42-49 days was not significant between the two groups, the FCR of the vaccinated group was better than the unvaccinated group and compared to all groups, this group had the highest growth rate at 42-49 days old. It seems that the pathogenicity of E. coli strains affects the FCR. As described above, APEC has numerous strains with widespread pathogenic bacteria in poultry [16].

The results of the microagglutination test showed that the O antigens of the three strains of the vaccine-induced immune responses in the vaccinated chickens 14 days post-vaccination. The O antigens in E. coli bacteria are among the highly immunogenic antigens [20]. The results also confirmed the challenge because antibody titers against O78 and O26 antigens rose in the challenged chickens.

Future vaccine development requires a multi-dimensional approach, focusing on identifying conserved antigens that confer broad protection across different APEC serotypes or incorporating such antigens. Multivalent vaccines targeting multiple serogroups or incorporating diverse antigens may offer enhanced efficacy and broader coverage [21].

Conclusion

The results demonstrated that it is possible to produce heterologous immunity with the alum-adjuvanted sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine. These results support the data reported by Melamed et al. (1991) that demonstrated that chickens vaccinated with the sonicated O2:K1 strain were protected from challenges with the heterologous O78:K80 strain. Sonication increases the presentation of internal and common antigens to the immune system [22].

Materials and Methods

E. coli strains

Two strains of APEC, including O78:K80 and O26, were used in this study. These strains had been isolated from affected broiler chickens in Iran and their pathogenicity had been confirmed by experimental studies (Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran).

Sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine

The vaccine contains three inactivated serotypes of *E. coli*, including O78:K80, O2:K1, and O1:K1, and alum as an adjuvant.

Experimental design

A total of 96 broiler chickens (Ross 308^{*}) were randomly assigned to four groups of 24 chicks each (female and male chicks were equal in each group). Each group had three subgroups of eight chicks per cage. Groups A and C were vaccinated, while groups B and D were not vaccinated (received normal saline instead of the vaccine). All the four groups were challenged 21 days post-vaccination. Groups A and B were challenged with the O78:K80 strain, while groups C and D were challenged with the O26 strain.

On day 14 of life, just before vaccination, and 7, 14, 21, 27, and 36 days after vaccination, the growth rate of chickens in the different groups was recorded. The mortality rate, feed consumption, and FCR were also assessed. After vaccination and challenge, the clinical signs and gross lesions of euthanized, moribund birds and dead chickens were recorded and also, using colony morphology and biochemical features attempts were made to isolate *E. coli* bacteria from the heart blood of fresh dead chickens [23]. Please replace the highlighted phrase with " using colony morphology and biochemical features.

Vaccination

Chickens in groups A and C received sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine in the dorsal cervical region via the subcutaneous route on day 14 (0.5 ml/chick). Groups B and D received sterile normal saline at the same site and via the same route (0.5 ml/chick) (Table 6).

Challenge

Chickens of groups A and B were subcutaneously [10, 24] challenged 21 days post-vaccination with 0.5 ml of a suspension containing 1.5×109 CFU/ml of O78:K80, while chickens of groups C and D were challenged with 0.5 ml of a suspension containing 1.5×109 CFU/ml of O26 (Table 6).

Microagglutination test to O antigen

Blood samples were taken randomly from the chickens on days 14, 21, 28, and 42 for serology study. A volume of 50 μ L of normal saline was placed in each well of a rounded-bottom microtiter plate. In the first well, 50 μ L of serum sample was added and the mixture was serially diluted. Next, 50 μ L of E. coli O antigens, including O2, O78, and O1 antigens or O26 antigens, was added to all nine wells. After overnight incubation at 4°C, the results were recorded.

Statistical analysis

Table. 6

Experimental design

Chikens ^a	Vaccination	Challenge	
Groups	Age (day)	Age (day)	
Gioups	14	35	
А	Vb	+d	
В	NVc	+	
С	V	+	
D	NV	+	

^aThe chickens of groups A and C received sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine via subcutaneous injection in the dorsal cervical region (0.5 ml/chick); the chickens of groups B and D received sterile normal saline (0.5 ml/chick).

Groups A and B were challenged with strain O78:K80 and groups C and D with strain O26.

^bV: vaccinated, NV: unvaccinated, +: chickens were challenged.

Authors' Contributions

Sima Alempour Rajabi and Zolfaghar Rajabi conceived and planned the experiments. Sima Alempour Rajabi carried out the experiments. Sima Alempour Rajabi and Zolfaghar Rajabi planned and carried out the simulations. Sima Alempour Rajabi contributed to sample preparation. Sima Alempour Rajabi and Zolfaghar Rajabi contributed to the interpretation of the results. Sima Alempour Rajabi and Zolfaghar Rajabi took the lead in writing the manuscript. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The Authors would like to acknowledge the University of Tabriz for funding this research.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Reference

- Dziva F, Stevens MP. Colibacillosis in poultry: unravelling the molecular basis of virulence of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli in their natural hosts. Avian Pathology. 2008; 37(4):355-66. Doi: 10.1080/03079450802216652.
- Dhama K, Chakraborty S, Barathidasan R, Tiwari R, Rajagunalan S, Singh SD. Escherichia coli, an economically important avian pathogen, its disease manifestations, diagnosis and control, and public health significance: A review. Research Opinions in Animal and Veterinary Sciences. 2013; 3(6):179-94.
- Nolan LK, Vaillancourt JP, Nicolle LB, Logue CM. Colibacillosis. In: Swayne DE, Boulianne M, Logue CM, Mcdougald LR, Nair V, Suarez DL. Diseases of poultry. 14th edition. Blackwell Publishing. 2020; 770-830.
- Matthijs MG, Van Eck JH, Landman WJ, Stegeman JA. Ability of Massachusetts-type infectious bronchitis virus to increase colibacillosis susceptibility in commercial broilers: a comparison between vaccine and virulent field virus. Avian Pathology. 2003; 32(5):473-81. Doi: 10.1080/0307945031000154062.
- Barbour EK, Mastori FA, Shaib HA, Yaghi RH, Tayeb IT, Sleiman FT, Kassaify ZG, Sawaya RK, Nour AM, Sabra AH, Harakeh S. Efficacy of a Nutrient Synergy Against Colibacillosis in Poultry. International Journal of Applied Research in Veterinary Medicine. 2009; 7(1-2):43-9.
- Kwaga JK, Allan BJ, Van der Hurk JV, Seida H, Potter AA. A carAB mutant of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli serogroup O2 is attenuated and effective as a live oral vaccine against colibacillosis in turkeys. Infection and immunity. 1994; 62(9):3766-72. Doi: 10.1128/iai.62.9.3766-3772.1994.
- Melamed D, Leitner G, Heller ED. A vaccine against avian colibacillosis based on ultrasonic inactivation of Escherichia coli. Avian diseases. 1991; 17-22.
- Hem SL, HogenEsch H. Aluminum-containing adjuvants: properties, formulation, and use. In: Singh M. Vaccine adjuvants and delivery systems. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons inc. 2007; 81-114.
- Gupa RK, RK, Rost BE. Aluminum compounds as vaccine adjuvants. In: O'Hagan DT. Vaccine adjuvants. Springer New York: HumanaPress. 2000; 65-89.
- Kholdi S, Motamedifar M, Fani F, Mohebi S, Bazargani A. Virulence factors, serogroups, and antibiotic resistance of Shiga-toxin producing Escherichia coli from raw beef, chicken meat, and vegetables in Southwest Iran. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research. 2021; 22(3):180-187. Doi: 10.22099/ IJVR.2021.39266.5706.
- Rahman MM, Husna A, Elshabrawy HA, Alam J, Runa NY, Badruzzaman AT, Banu NA, Al Mamun M, Paul B, Das S, Rahman MM. Isolation and molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli from chicken meat. Scientific Reports. 2020;10(1):21999. Doi:10.1038/s41598-020-

Evaluation the cross immunity of a sonicated trivalent avian colibacillosis vaccine to APEC O26 and O78 broilers

Alempour Rajabi, IJVST 2024; Vol.16, No.3 DOI:10.22067/ijvst.2024.87116.1359

78367-2.

- Tairov J, Salimov K. Cultural, biochemical, and pathogenic properties of Escherichia coli isolated from birds. InE3S Web of Conferences 2023 (Vol. 452, p. 01023). EDP Sciences. Doi:10.1051/e3sconf/202345201023.
- Kathayat D, Lokesh D, Ranjit S, Rajashekara G. Avian Pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC): An Overview of Virulence and Pathogenesis Factors, Zoonotic Potential, and Control Strategies. Pathogens. 2021; 10(4):467.Doi/10.3390/pathogens10040467.
- Elnagar R, Elkenany R, Younis G. Interleukin gene expression in broiler chickens infected by different Escherichia coli serotypes. Veterinary World. 2021; 14(10):2727-2734. Doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2021.2727-2734.
- Lutful Kabir SM. Avian colibacillosis and salmonellosis: a closer look at epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, control and public health concerns. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2010; 7(1):89-114. Doi: 10.3390/ijerph7010089.
- Hu J, Afayibo DJ, Zhang B, Zhu H, Yao L, Guo W, Wang X, Wang Z, Wang D, Peng H, Tian M. Characteristics, pathogenic mechanism, zoonotic potential, drug resistance, and prevention of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC). Frontiers in Microbiology. 2022; 13:1049391. Doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2022.1049391.
- Pham VH, Abbas W, Huang J, Guo F, Zhang K, Kong L, Zhen W, Guo Y, Wang Z. Dietary coated essential oil and organic acid mixture supplementation improves health of broilers infected with avian pathogenic Escherichia coli. Animal Nutrition. 2023; 12:245-62. Doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2022.09.010.

- El-Tahawy AO, Said AA, Shams GA, Hassan HM, Hassan AM, Amer SA, El-Nabtity SM. Evaluation of cefquinome's efficacy in controlling avian colibacillosis and detection of its residues using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 2022; 29(5):3502-10. Doi:10.1016/j.sjbs.2022.02.029.
- Amen O, El Hendy AH, Elfeil WK, Hussein AG. Evaluating the efficacy of commercial Escherichia coli killed vaccine in broiler chickens. Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research. 2023; 13 (6):1037-43.
- 20. Liu B, Furevi A, Perepelov AV, Guo X, Cao H, Wang Q, Reeves PR, Knirel YA, Wang L, Widmalm G. Structure and genetics of Escherichia coli O antigens. FEMS microbiology reviews. 2020; 44(6):655-83. Doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuz028.
- 21. Paudel S, Apostolakos I, Vougat Ngom R, Tilli G, de Carvalho Ferreira HC, Piccirillo A. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy of vaccination against colibacillosis in broiler production. Plos one. 2024; 19(3):e0301029.
- 22. Melamed D, Leitner G, Heller ED. A vaccine against avian colibacillosis based on ultrasonic inactivation of Escherichia coli. Avian diseases. 1991; 1:17-22. Doi: 10.2307/1591289.
- Lee, MD and Arp, LH (1998). Colibacillosis.In: Swayne, DE; Glisson, JR; Jackwood, MW; Pearson, JE; Reed, WM; editors. Isolation and identification of avian pathogens.4th ed. American Association of Avian Pathologists. 14-16.
- 24. Gibbs PS, Petermann SR, Wooley RE. Comparison of several challenge models for studies in avian colibacillosis. Avian diseases. 2004; 48(4):751-8. Doi: 10.1637/7176-030404R.

COPYRIGHTS

©2024 The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.



How to cite this article

Alempour Rajabi S, Rajabi Z Cross Immunity of a Sonicated Trivalent Avian Colibacillosis Vaccine to Pathogenic Escherichia coli O26 and O78 Strains in Broiler Chickens. Iran J Vet Sci Technol. 2024; 16(3): 92-98. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22067/ijvst.2024.87116.1359 https://ijvst.um.ac.ir/article_45628.html